NGC 21-02

JUN 1 4 2021

NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION CARSON CITY, NEVADA

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

1213

14

15 16 17

1819

2021

23

24

22

25

2627

28

## STATE OF NEVADA

### BEFORE THE NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION

NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD,

Complainant,

VS.

LV STATION MANAGEMENT, INC, dba COUNTRY CLUB AUTO SPA; and ALI POURDASTAN,

Respondents.

COMPLAINT

The State of Nevada, on relation of its NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD (BOARD), Complainant herein, by and through its counsel, AARON D. FORD, Attorney General, and EDWARD L. MAGAW, Senior Deputy Attorney General, hereby files this Complaint before the Nevada Gaming Commission (NGC or Commission) for disciplinary action against LV STATION MANAGEMENT INC, dba COUNTRY CLUB AUTO SPA (CCAS), and ALI POURDASTAN (POURDASTAN), Respondents herein, pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 463.310(2), and alleges as follows:

### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. Complainant, BOARD, is an administrative agency of the State of Nevada duly organized and existing under and by virtue of chapter 463 of NRS and is charged with the administration and enforcement of the gaming laws of this State as set forth in Title 41 of NRS (Nevada Gaming Control Act) and the regulations of the Commission.
- 2. Respondent CCAS, located at 9260 South Eastern Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89123-3276, currently holds a restricted gaming license, and, as such, is charged with the responsibility of complying with all applicable provisions of the Nevada Gaming Control Act and the regulations of the Commission.

3. Respondent POURDASTAN is currently licensed as the sole owner, director, president, secretary, and treasurer of Respondent CCAS, and, as such, is charged with the responsibility of complying with all applicable provisions of the Nevada Gaming Control Act and the regulations of the Commission.

### INTRODUCTION

- 4. On or about December 17, 2020, officers of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) executed a search warrant for the premises of CCAS. This search warrant was based on an investigation conducted by LVMPD in which undercover officers had made multiple purchases of illegal narcotics from an employee of CCAS.
- 5. During the search of the CCAS premises, LVMPD discovered a stolen handgun, ammunition, a small scale, and illegal narcotics. Upon questioning, the employee on duty admitted to owning the gun, scale, and ammunition, and further admitted to selling approximately \$200 worth of methamphetamine during his work shifts on the premises of CCAS.
- 6. As a result of LVMPD's investigation, CCAS was cited for the following, which were in violation of Clark County Code: (1) Failure to maintain a master list of employees; (2) Cashier not possessing a TAM card (alcohol awareness training card); (3) Cashier possessing and selling narcotics; and (4) Cashier illegally in possession of a firearm. Based on the violations cited, CCAS's business and liquor license were immediately suspended pending a hearing on the matter.
- 7. By not adequately supervising the activities of CCAS's employees, and by failing to ensure compliance with all applicable Clark County Codes, CCAS and its owner, POURDASTAN, reneged on their duties as gaming licensees.
- 8. In addition to the above, since December 2018, CCAS has failed to comply with the key employee condition placed on its State issued restricted gaming license by the Commission.
- Such failures, as set forth in this Complaint, constitute an unsuitable method of operation and provide the basis for disciplinary action against CCAS and POURDASTAN.

### RELEVANT LAW

- 10. The Nevada Legislature set forth the importance of the gaming industry to the State of Nevada and its responsibility to the State's inhabitants in NRS 463.0129(1), which reads in relevant part as:
  - (a) The gaming industry is vitally important to the economy of the State and the general welfare of the inhabitants.
  - (b) The continued growth and success of gaming is dependent upon public confidence and trust . . . that establishments which hold restricted and nonrestricted licenses where gaming is conducted and where gambling devices are operated do not unduly impact the quality of life enjoyed by residents of the surrounding neighborhoods . . . .
  - (c) Public confidence and trust can only be maintained by strict regulation of all persons, locations, practices, associations and activities related to the operation of licensed gaming establishments....
  - (d) All establishments where gaming is conducted and where gaming devices are operated . . . must therefore be licensed, controlled and assisted to protect the public health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State, to foster the stability and success of gaming and to preserve the competitive economy and policies of free competition of the State of Nevada.

NRS 463.0129(1)(a)-(d).

- 11. To ensure proper oversight and control over the gaming industry, the Nevada Legislature has granted the Commission "full and absolute power and authority to limit, condition, restrict, revoke or suspend any license . . . or fine any person licensed . . . for any cause deemed reasonable by the Commission." NRS 463.1405 (4).
- 12. The BOARD is authorized to observe the conduct of licensees to ensure that gaming operations are not being operated in an unsuitable manner or by an unqualified or unsuitable person. NRS 463.1405(1) and Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.040.
- 13. A person must not receive a license unless the Commission is satisfied that the applicant is a (1) "person of good character, honesty and integrity;" (2) "whose prior activities, . . . reputation, habits and associations do not pose a threat to the public interest of this State or to the effective regulation and control of gaming . . . or create or enhance

the dangers of unsuitable . . . or illegal practices, methods;" and (3) is in "all other respects qualified to be licensed . . . consistently with the declared policy of the State." NRS 463.170(2).

- 14. Each licensee bears the continuing obligation to continue to meet such standards and qualifications, and failure to do so constitutes grounds for disciplinary action. NRS 463.170(8) and Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.040.
- 15. The burden of proving a licensee's qualifications to continue to hold a license rests at all times on the licensee. Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.040.
- 16. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.010(1) states that it is "the policy of the Commission and the Board to require that all establishments wherein gaming is conducted in this state be operated in a manner suitable to protect the public health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State of Nevada."
- 17. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.010(2) states that responsibility "for the employment and maintenance of suitable methods of operation rests with the licensee, and willful or persistent use or toleration of methods of operation deemed unsuitable will constitute grounds for license revocation or other disciplinary action."
- 18. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.011 states, in relevant part, as follows:
  - 1. The Board and the Commission deem any activity on the part of a licensee, registrant, or person found suitable by the Commission, or an agent or employee thereof, that is inimical to the public health, safety, morals, good order, or general welfare of the people of the State of Nevada, or that would reflect or tend to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry, to be an unsuitable method of operation and shall be grounds for disciplinary action by the Board and the Commission in accordance with the Nevada Gaming Control Act and the regulations of the Commission. The following acts or omissions, without limitation, may be determined to be unsuitable methods of operation:
  - (a) Failure to exercise discretion and sound judgment to prevent incidents which might reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the development of the industry.

1	(h) Failure to comply with or make provision for compliance with all federal, state, or local laws and regulations and with all conditions and limitations approved by the Commission relating	
2	to the operations of a licensed gaming establishment or other gaming business	
3	gammg business	
4		
5	(k) Failure to conduct gaming operations in accordance with proper standards of custom, decorum, and decency, or permit a type of conduct in a gaming establishment that reflects or tends	
6	to reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the gaming industry.	
$^7$		
8	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
9	2. The Commission, in the exercise of its sound discretion, may make its own determination as to whether or not a licensed gaming establishment or other gaming business has failed to comply with a law or regulation described in paragraph (h) of subsection 1, but any such determination shall make use of	
10		
11	established precedents when interpreting the applicable statute.  Nothing in this section affects the right of a licensee to judicial	
12	review.	
13	Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.011(1)(a), (h), and (k), and (2).	
14	19. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.030 provides as follows:	
15	Violation of any provision of the Nevada Gaming Control Act or	
16	of these regulations by a licensee, the licensee's agent or employee shall be deemed contrary to the public health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State of Nevada and grounds for suspension or revocation of a license. Acceptance of a state gaming license or renewal thereof	
17		
18	by a licensee constitutes an agreement on the part of the licensee to be bound by all of the regulations of the Commission as the	
19   20	same now are or may hereafter be amended or promulgated. It is the responsibility of the licensee to keep informed of the	
$20 \mid 21 \mid$	content of all such regulations, and ignorance thereof will not excuse violations.	
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$	Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.030.	
23	20. Nevada Revised Statute 463.310 states in relevant part as follows:	
$\begin{bmatrix} 24 &   \\ 25 &   \end{bmatrix}$	1. The Board shall make appropriate investigations:  (a) To determine whether there has been any violation of this chapter or chapter 462, 464, 465 or 466 of NRS or any regulations adopted thereunder.  (b) To determine any facts, conditions, practices or matters which it may deem necessary or proper to aid in the enforcement of any such law or regulation	
26   26		
27		
41	l of any such law or regulation	
21 28	of any such law or regulation.	

- 23. Pursuant to NGC Regulation 1.145, the term "premises" means "land together with all buildings, improvements and personal property located thereon."
- 24. Pursuant to NGC Regulation 3.010, a place or location may be deemed unsuitable for the conduct of gaming operation, if, among other things, the premises lack adequate supervision or surveillance or is difficult to police. Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 3.010(4) and (5).
  - 25. Clark County Code 8.20.465, provides as follows:

#### 8.20.465 - Duties of licensee.

It is the affirmative duty of each holder of an alcoholic liquor license to strictly enforce all the provisions of this code and state statutes in the licensed establishment, and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, each holder of a liquor license must:

(a) Maintain and conduct all activities upon the premises in a decent, orderly and respectful manner and shall not knowingly permit within or upon the licensed premises any lewd activity, nudity, or topless activity (except in those limited circumstances which are enumerated in Section 8.20.570), disorder, disturbances, or other activities which endanger the health or safety of the patrons or disrupt the peace or order of the neighborhood;

(b) Except for live entertainment venues properly licensed for the sale of alcohol, public facility clubs, and showrooms operated by resort hotel licensees, refuse admittance to all minors to any room of the licensed premises wherein alcoholic liquor is sold for on-premises consumption, unless it is in a restaurant, category 2 restaurant, or supper club that are not operated in conjunction with an adult entertainment cabaret; and

(c) Maintain adequate security to ensure compliance with requirements of subsections (a) and (b) of this section and remain qualified to hold a liquor license as provided in Section 8.20.010.

For the purpose of this section, "premises" means all portions of the building in which the licensee is located and over which it has control and that area of the parking lot over which the licensee has ownership or contractual parking privileges. For the purposes of this section and Section 8.20.570, use of the word "premises" for liquor licenses that also offer "transient lodging" (as that term is defined in CCC Chapter 4.08) shall not include private rooms designed and used for sleeping purposes.

Clark County Code 8.20.465.

26. Clark County Code 8.20.055 provides:

### 8.20.055 - Alcohol education cards.

It is unlawful for liquor licensees to employ any person to sell or serve alcoholic beverages, participate directly in the

28

around December 2018.

- 32. Thereafter, another employee of CCAS occupied the position of "key employee" (Key Employee #2). However, no key employee application was ever submitted to the Board for Key Employee #2 as required by the license condition cited above. Per the license condition referenced above, such application was due 60-days from the date Key Employee #2 began occupying the position of key employee.
- 33. In or around December 2020, Key Employee #2 was replaced by Key Employee #3. However, as of the date of this Complaint, the Board has not received a key employee application for Key Employee #3. Per the license condition referenced above, such application was due 60-days from the date Key Employee #3 began occupying the position of key employee.

### B. Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Investigation

- 34. In March 2020, the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) received an anonymous tip that illegal narcotic related activity was occurring on CCAS's premises. Based on this information, LVMPD launched an investigation in which it conducted surveillance of CCAS's premises, and its undercover officers made multiple narcotic purchases from one of CCAS's employees (Employee #1).
- 35. On December 17, 2020, LVMPD executed a search warrant of the premises of CCAS and discovered a stolen gun, illegal narcotics, and a scale. Employee #1, a convicted felon, was arrested by LVMPD for possession of those items. Employee #1 admitted to the arresting officers that he sold methamphetamine, an illegal substance, on the premises of CCAS. On the same day, a search warrant was also executed at Employee #1's residence where additional illegal drugs and a shot gun were discovered.
- 36. During the execution of the search warrant at the business location, another CCAS employee (Employee #2) was arrested for five outstanding no-bail warrants and for gun and drug offenses, and a third CCAS employee (Employee #3) was discovered living in the attic space of CCAS in poor sanitary conditions.
- 37. During an interview of Key Employee #2, LVMPD learned that Key Employee #2 did not have an Alcohol Awareness Card and that CCAS had failed to

maintain an Employee List, both of which are required by the Clark County Code. Other County Code violations were also noted by LVMPD.

- 38. On December 17, 2020, as a result of its investigation, LVMPD issued an emergency suspension of CCAS's business and liquor licenses pursuant to Clark County Code 8.08.170(c). The emergency suspension was based on the following:
  - a. Employee dealing drugs;
  - b. Employee not having alcohol awareness card (TAM card);
  - c. No master employee list being maintained; and
  - d. Employee living on premises (cited CCAS for violations of Clark County Codes 8.24.090 and 8.20.465).
- 39. In its notice, LVMPD cited to Clark County Code 8.24.090 (Employee Records) and 8.20.465 (Duties of Licensee) as the basis of the emergency suspension.
- 40. On or about December 21, 2020, technicians from Century Gaming Technology removed the five slot machines from the premises of CCAS.
- 41. On January 19, 2021, a hearing officer for the Clark County Business License Department issued a decision allowing CCAS to resume operations under its business and liquor licenses for one year under the following conditions:
  - a. Key Employee #2 referenced above may still be employed by CCAS, but he can only be involved in the operation of the car wash and cannot be employed at any level of supervision above a lower-level manager.
  - b. All employees must have TAM Cards (alcohol awareness cards) if required for their respective job duties.
  - c. All employees must have thorough background checks and must be drug tested.
  - d. A new manager is to be employed as a "key employee" for the location.
  - e. The owner or his representative will be at the business at least two to three times per week.
  - f. An armed guard is to be employed and onsite from 6:00 pm to 6:00 am.

- g. Non-essential employees, or any non-employees, shall not have access to the office on premises.
- h. A key employee must be onsite at all times the business is open to the public.
- i. If available, LVMPD blue lights are to be installed and operated for three months (if LVMPD can make that possible).
- j. Any incidents are to be reported to Clark County Business License within 24-hours of the incident.
- k. An accurate master list of employees is to be maintained at all times.
- 1. Any violation of the above conditions gives Clark County Business license the authority to automatically revoke CCAS's business and liquor licenses.
- m. There is to be a 6-month administrative review of CCAS.
- 42. Prior to being allowed to re-open, CCAS was required to comply with the following:
  - a. Submit a key employee application to the Clark County Business License Department.
  - b. Submit an updated employee list to the Clark County Business License Department.
  - c. Provide copies of all employee TAM cards to the Clark County Business License Department.
  - d. Have security on premises from 6 pm to 6 am.
- 43. Sometime after the emergency suspension was issued, CCAS applied for, and was granted permission from the Board to temporarily cease gaming operations pursuant to NGC regulation 9.010(2). That regulation allows a licensee to cease gaming operations for up to one calendar quarter without having its gaming license deemed surrendered.
- 44. While CCAS's business operations have resumed, as of the date of this Complaint, it has not resumed its gaming operations. It did, however, operate gaming for one day on or before March 31, 2021, to preserve its license under NGC regulation 9.010(2).

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

### COUNT I VIOLATION OF NRS 463.170(8) AND/OR NGC REGULATIONS 5.011(1)(a) AND/OR (k)

- 45. The BOARD realleges and incorporates the above paragraphs by reference as though set forth in full herein.
- CCAS and/or POURDASTAN failed to exercise a proper level of control over 46. the business operations and employees resulting in dangerous conditions to exist that put the health and safety of the public and patrons at risk.
- 47. CCAS's and/or POURDASTAN's lack of control and proper oversight of the business operation, allowed an employee to sell and use illegal narcotics on the business premises while on duty.
- 48. CCAS and/or POURDASTAN knew, or should have known, of the dangerous conditions their business operation created, and failed to take adequate measures to prevent them.
- 49. The conditions and incidents described herein and the failure to take reasonable measures to ensure the safety and security of the public and CCAS's patrons constitute failures on the part of CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to continue to meet the applicable standards and qualifications necessary to hold a gaming license in violation of NRS 463.170(8).
- 50. CCAS's and/or POURDASTAN's failure to prevent and/or take the necessary steps to prevent the above-described conditions and incidents from occurring, either in whole or in part, constitutes a failure to exercise discretion and sound judgment to prevent incidents which might reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the development of the gaming industry in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(a).
- 51. CCAS's and/or POURDASTAN's failure to prevent and/or take the necessary steps to prevent the above-described conditions and incidents from occurring, constitutes a failure to conduct gaming operations in accordance with proper standards of custom, decorum, and/or decency, and/or reflects or tends to reflect on the repute of the State of

Nevada and acts as a detriment to the gaming industry in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(k).

52. The failure to comply with NRS 463.170(8) and/or NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(a) and/or (k) is an unsuitable method of operation and provides grounds for disciplinary action against CCAS and/or POURDASTAN. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.010(2) and 5.030.

### <u>VIOLATION OF NRS 463.170(8) AND/OR</u> <u>NGC REGULATION 5.011(1)(a), (h) AND/OR 5.011(k)</u>

- 53. The BOARD realleges and incorporates the above paragraphs by reference as though set forth in full herein.
- 54. During its investigation, LVMPD discovered that Employee #1 possessed and sold illegal narcotics on the premises of CCAS, and was in possession of a stolen firearm, which he could not otherwise lawfully possess due to a prior felony conviction.
- 55. Under Clark County Code 8.20.465, CCAS and/or POURDASTAN were required, among other things, to maintain and conduct all activities on the premises of CCAS in a manner that does not endanger the health or safety of the patrons or disrupt the peace or order of the neighborhood in which CCAS is located. Further, CCAS and/or POURDASTAN were required to maintain adequate security over the premises to ensure compliance with other provisions of Clark County Code 8.20.465.
- 56. By not properly supervising and controlling CCAS's business operation and premises, CCAS and/or POURDASTAN violated Clark County Code 8.20.465.
- 57. Compliance with all applicable local and state laws and ordinances is the responsibility of CCAS and/or POURDASTAN.
- 58. Failure to comply with Clark County Code 8.020.465, as set forth herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to continue to meet the applicable standards and qualifications necessary to hold a gaming license in violation of NRS 463.170(8).
- 59. Failure to comply with Clark County Code 8.020.465, as set forth herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to exercise discretion and/or sound

judgment to prevent incidents which might reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the development of the industry in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(a).

- 60. Failure to comply with Clark County Code 8.020.465, as described herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to comply with or make provisions for compliance with all federal, state, and local laws and regulations pertaining to the operation of a licensed gaming establishment in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(h).
- 61. Failure to comply with Clark County Code 8.020.465, as described herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to conduct gaming operations in accordance with proper standards of custom, decorum, and/or decency, and/or reflects or tends to reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the gaming industry in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(k).
- 62. The failure to comply with NRS 463.170 and/or NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(a), 5.011(1)(h), and/or 5.011(1)(k) is an unsuitable method of operation and provides grounds for disciplinary action against CCAS and/or POURDASTAN. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.010(2) and 5.030.

# <u>VIOLATION OF NRS 463.170(8) AND/OR</u> NGC REGULATION 5.011(1)(a), (h) AND/OR 5.011(k)

- 63. The BOARD realleges and incorporates the above paragraphs by reference as though set forth in full herein.
- 64. During its investigation, LVMPD discovered that Key Employee #2 had not obtained a TAM card. Because Key Employee #2 was permitted to sell liquor on the premises of CCAS, he was required to obtain and possess a TAM card.
- 65. By allowing Key Employee #2 to sell alcoholic beverages to CCAS patrons without a TAM card, CCAS and/or POURDASTAN violated Clark County Code 8.20.055.
- 66. Compliance with all applicable local and state laws and ordinances is the responsibility of CCAS and/or POURDASTAN.

28 ||.

- 67. Failure to comply with Clark County Code 8.020.055, as set forth herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to continue to meet the applicable standards and qualifications necessary to hold a gaming license in violation of NRS 463.170(8).
- 68. Failure to comply with Clark County Code 8.020.055, as set forth herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to exercise discretion and/or sound judgment to prevent incidents which might reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the development of the industry in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(a).
- 69. Failure to comply with Clark County Code 8.020.055, as described herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to comply with or make provisions for compliance with all federal, state, and local laws and regulations pertaining to the operation of a licensed gaming establishment in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(h).
- 70. Failure to comply with Clark County Code 8.020.055, as described herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to conduct gaming operations in accordance with proper standards of custom, decorum, and/or decency, and/or reflects or tends to reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the gaming industry in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(k).
- 71. The failure to comply with NRS 463.170 and/or NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(a), 5.011(1)(h), and/or 5.011(1)(k) is an unsuitable method of operation and provides grounds for disciplinary action against CCAS and/or POURDASTAN. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.010(2) and 5.030.

#### <u>COUNT IV</u> <u>VIOLATION OF NRS 463.170(8) AND/OR</u> NGC REGULATION 5.011(1)(a), (h) AND/OR 5.011(k)

- 72. The BOARD realleges and incorporates the above paragraphs by reference as though set forth in full herein.
- 73. During its investigation, LVMPD discovered that a master list of CCAS employees was not maintained by CCAS as required by Clark County Code 8.24.090.

- 74. Compliance with all applicable local and state laws and ordinances is the responsibility of CCAS and/or POURDASTAN.
- 75. Failure to comply with Clark County Code 8.24.090, as set forth herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to continue to meet the applicable standards and qualifications necessary to hold a gaming license in violation of NRS 463.170(8).
- 76. Failure to comply with Clark County Code 8.24.090, as set forth herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to exercise discretion and/or sound judgment to prevent incidents which might reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the development of the industry in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(a).
- 77. Failure to comply with Clark County Code 8.24.090, as described herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to comply with or make provisions for compliance with all federal, state, and local laws and regulations pertaining to the operation of a licensed gaming establishment in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(h).
- 78. Failure to comply with Clark County Code 8.24.090, as described herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to conduct gaming operations in accordance with proper standards of custom, decorum, and/or decency, and/or reflects or tends to reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the gaming industry in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(k).
- 79. The failure to comply with NRS 463.170 and/or NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(a), 5.011(1)(h), and/or 5.011(1)(k) is an unsuitable method of operation and provides grounds for disciplinary action against CCAS and/or POURDASTAN. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.010(2) and 5.030.

### <u>VIOLATION OF NRS 463.170(8) AND/OR</u> <u>NGC REGULATION 5.011(1)(a), (h) AND/OR 5.011(k)</u>

80. The BOARD realleges and incorporates the above paragraphs by reference as though set forth in full herein.

81. The restricted gaming license for CCAS contains the following condition:

A KEY EMPLOYEE APPLICATION MUST BE FILED WITHIN 60 DAYS OF ISSUANCE OF THE STATE GAMING LICENSE, AND THEREAFTER BE REFILED WITHIN 60 DAYS OF ANY CHANGE IN THE PERSON OCCUPYING THAT POSITION.

- 82. As described herein, CCAS failed to submit a key employee application for Key Employee #2 as required under the above license condition.
- 83. Compliance with the above license condition is the responsibility of CCAS and/or POURDASTAN.
- 84. Failure to comply with the above referenced license condition, as described herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to continue to meet the applicable standards and qualifications necessary to hold a gaming license in violation of NRS 463.170(8).
- 85. Failure to comply with the above referenced license condition, as described herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to exercise discretion and/or sound judgment to prevent incidents which might reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the development of the industry in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(a).
- 86. Failure to comply with the above referenced license condition, as described herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to comply with or make provisions for compliance with all federal, state, and local laws and regulations pertaining to the operation of a licensed gaming establishment in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(h).
- 87. Failure to comply with the above referenced license condition, as described herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to conduct gaming operations in accordance with proper standards of custom, decorum, and/or decency, and/or reflects or tends to reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the gaming industry in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(k).

28 II

88. The failure to comply with NRS 463.170 and/or NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(a), 5.011(1)(h), and/or 5.011(1)(k) is an unsuitable method of operation and provides grounds for disciplinary action against CCAS and/or POURDASTAN. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.010(2) and 5.030.

# <u>COUNT VI</u> <u>VIOLATION OF NRS 463.170(8) AND/OR</u> NGC REGULATION 5.011(1)(a), (h) AND/OR 5.011(k)

- 89. The BOARD realleges and incorporates the above paragraphs by reference as though set forth in full herein.
  - 90. The restricted gaming license for CCAS contains the following condition:

A KEY EMPLOYEE APPLICATION MUST BE FILED WITHIN 60 DAYS OF ISSUANCE OF THE STATE GAMING LICENSE, AND THEREAFTER BE REFILED WITHIN 60 DAYS OF ANY CHANGE IN THE PERSON OCCUPYING THAT POSITION.

- 91. As described herein, CCAS failed to submit a key employee application for Key Employee #3 as required under the above license condition.
- 92. Compliance with the above license condition is the responsibility of CCAS and/or POURDASTAN.
- 93. Failure to comply with the above referenced license condition, as described herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to continue to meet the applicable standards and qualifications necessary to hold a gaming license in violation of NRS 463.170(8).
- 94. Failure to comply with the above referenced license condition, as described herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to exercise discretion and/or sound judgment to prevent incidents which might reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the development of the industry in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(a).
- 95. Failure to comply with the above referenced license condition, as described herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to comply with or make provisions for compliance with all federal, state, and local laws and regulations pertaining

to the operation of a licensed gaming establishment in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(h).

- 96. Failure to comply with the above referenced license condition, as described herein, constitutes a failure by CCAS and/or POURDASTAN to conduct gaming operations in accordance with proper standards of custom, decorum, and/or decency, and/or reflects or tends to reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the gaming industry in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(k).
- 97. The failure to comply with NRS 463.170 and/or NGC Regulation 5.011(1)(a), 5.011(1)(h), and/or 5.011(1)(k) is an unsuitable method of operation and provides grounds for disciplinary action against CCAS and/or POURDASTAN. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.010(2) and 5.030.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, based upon the allegations contained herein, which constitute reasonable cause for disciplinary action against CCAS and/or POURDASTAN, pursuant to NRS 463.310 and/or NGC Regulations 5.010, 5.011, and/or 5.030, the Board prays for the relief as follows:

- 1. That the Commission serve a copy of this Complaint on CCAS and/or POURDASTAN pursuant to NRS 463.312(2);
- 2. That the Commission fine CCAS and/or POURDASTAN a monetary sum pursuant to the parameters defined at NRS 463.310(4) for each separate violation of the provisions of the Nevada Gaming Control Act or the Regulations of the Commission;
- 3. That the Commission take action against CCAS's and/or POURDASTAN's licenses pursuant to the parameters defined in NRS 463.310(4); and

..

Page 19 of 20

.		
1	4. For such other and further re	lief as the Commission may deem just and
2	proper.	
3	DATED this 11th_ day of	June, 2021.
4		NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD
5		A. Brig Like
6		J BRIN GIBSON, Chairman
7		Plly Het
8		PHILIP KATSAROS, Member
9   10		BRITTNIE WATKINS, Member
11	Submitted by:	
12	AARON D. FORD	
13	Attorney General	
14	By: Edward I Magary NV Pan No. 0111)	_
15	Edward L. Magaw (NV Bar No. 9111) Senior Deputy Attorney General Gaming Division	
16	Gaming Division (702) 486-3224 Attorneys for the Nevada Gaming Control Bo	ard
17	Attorneys for the ivedada daming Control Bo	ar a
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		