NGC CASE NO. 18-05

APR 12 2018

NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION CARSON CITY, NEVADA

3

1

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

4

5

6

7 8

\_

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## STATE OF NEVADA

## BEFORE THE NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION

NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD,

Complainant,

vs.

BEST BET PRODUCTS, INC., dba STAGESTOP CASINO; and SHAWN PAUL HOLMES, President

Respondents.

**COMPLAINT** 

The State of Nevada, on relation of its NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD (BOARD), Complainant herein, by and through its counsel, ADAM PAUL LAXALT, Attorney General, and EDWARD L. MAGAW, Deputy Attorney General, hereby files this Compliant for disciplinary action against BEST BET PRODUCTS, INC., dba STAGESTOP CASINO (STAGESTOP), and SHAWN PAUL HOLMES (HOLMES), Respondents herein, pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 463.310(2) and alleges as follows:

#### JURISDICTION

1. Complainant, BOARD, is an administrative agency of the State of Nevada duly organized and existing under and by virtue of Chapter 463 of NRS and is charged with the administration and enforcement of the gaming laws of this state as set forth in Title 41 of NRS (Gaming Control Act) and the regulations of the Nevada Gaming Commission (Commission or NGC).

Page 1 of 11

2. Respondent, STAGESTOP, located at 100 West Stagecoach Road, Pahrump, Nevada 89041, is organized under the laws of Nevada and holds a nonrestricted gaming license.

3. Respondent, HOLMES, is licensed as the President of STAGESTOP and is also licensed as a shareholder and a director of STAGESTOP.

### RELEVANT LAW

4. The Nevada Legislature has declared under NRS 463.0129(1) that:

(a) The gaming industry is vitally important to the economy

of the State and the general welfare of the inhabitants.

(b) The continued growth and success of gaming is dependent upon public confidence and trust that licensed gaming and the manufacture, sale and distribution of gaming devices and associated equipment are conducted honestly and competitively, that establishments which hold restricted and nonrestricted licenses where gaming is conducted and where gambling devices are operated do not unduly impact the quality of life enjoyed by residents of the surrounding neighborhoods, that the rights of the creditors of licensees are protected and that gaming is free from criminal and corruptive elements.

(c) Public confidence and trust can only be maintained by strict regulation of all persons, locations, practices, associations and activities related to the operation of licensed gaming establishments, the manufacture, sale or distribution of gaming devices and associated equipment and the operation of inter-

casino linked systems.

(d) All establishments where gaming is conducted and where gaming devices are operated, and manufacturers, sellers and distributors of certain gaming devices and equipment, and operators of inter-casino linked systems must therefore be licensed, controlled and assisted to protect the public health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State, to foster the stability and success of gaming and to preserve the competitive economy and policies of free competition of the State of Nevada.

NRS 463.0129(1)(a)-(d).

- 5. The Commission has full and absolute power and authority to limit, condition, restrict, revoke or suspend any license, or fine any person licensed, for any cause deemed reasonable. See NRS 463.1405(4).
- 6. The BOARD is authorized to observe the conduct of licensees in order to ensure that the gaming operations are not being conducted in an unsuitable manner. See NRS 463.1405(1).

7. This continuing obligation is repeated in NGC Regulation 5.040, which provides as follows:

A gaming license is a revocable privilege, and no holder thereof shall be deemed to have acquired any vested rights therein or thereunder. The burden of proving his qualifications to hold any license rests at all times on the licensee. The board is charged by law with the duty of observing the conduct of all licensees to the end that licenses shall not be held by unqualified or disqualified persons or unsuitable persons or persons whose operations are conducted in an unsuitable manner.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.040.

- 8. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.010 provides as follows:
  - 1. It is the policy of the commission and the board to require that all establishments wherein gaming is conducted in this state be operated in a manner suitable to protect the public health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State of Nevada.
  - 2. Responsibility for the employment and maintenance of suitable methods of operation rests with the licensee, and willful or persistent use or toleration of methods of operation deemed unsuitable will constitute grounds for license revocation or other disciplinary action.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.010.

9. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.011 states, in relevant part, as follows:

The board and the commission deem any activity on the part of any licensee, his agents or employees, that is inimical to the public health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the people of the State of Nevada, or that would reflect or tend to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry, to be an unsuitable method of operation and shall be grounds for disciplinary action by the board and the commission in accordance with the Nevada Gaming Control Act and the regulations of the board and the commission. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the following acts or omissions may be determined to be unsuitable methods of operation:

1. Failure to exercise discretion and sound judgment to prevent incidents which might reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the development of the industry.

8. Failure to comply with or make provision for compliance with all federal, state and local laws and regulations pertaining to the operations of a licensed establishment including, without

limiting the generality of the foregoing, payment of license fees, withholding any payroll taxes, liquor and entertainment taxes and antitrust and monopoly statutes.

The Nevada gaming commission in the exercise of its sound discretion can make its own determination of whether or not the licensee has failed to comply with the aforementioned, but any such determination shall make use of the established precedents in interpreting the language of the applicable statutes. Nothing in this section shall be deemed to affect any right to judicial review.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.011, 5.011(1), and (8).

10. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.030 provides as follows:

Violation of any provision of the Nevada Gaming Control Act or of these regulations by a licensee, his agent or employee shall be deemed contrary to the public health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State of Nevada and grounds for suspension or revocation of a license. Acceptance of a state gaming license or renewal thereof by a licensee constitutes an agreement on the part of the licensee to be bound by all of the regulations of the commission as the same now are or may hereafter be amended or promulgated. It is the responsibility of the licensee to keep himself informed of the content of all such regulations, and ignorance thereof will not excuse violations.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.030 (emphasis added).

11. Nevada Revised Statutes 463.310 states in relevant part as follows:

1. The Board shall make appropriate investigations:

(a) To determine whether there has been any violation of this chapter or chapter 462, 464, 465 or 466 of NRS or any regulations adopted thereunder.

(b) To determine any facts, conditions, practices or matters which it may deem necessary or proper to aid in the enforce-

ment of any such law or regulation.

• •

2. If, after any investigation the Board is satisfied that

(a) A license, registration, finding of suitability, preliminary finding of suitability, pari-mutuel license or prior approval by the Commission of any transaction for which the approval was required or permitted under the provisions of this chapter or chapter 462, 464 or 466 of NRS should be limited, conditioned, suspended or revoked; or

(b) A person or entity which is licensed, registered, found suitable pursuant to this chapter or chapter 464 of NRS or which previously obtained approval for any act or transaction for which Commission approval was required or permitted un-

der the provisions of this chapter or chapter 464 of NRS should 1 be fined, → the Board shall initiate a hearing before the Commission by 2 filing a complaint with the Commission in accordance with NRS 463.312 and transmit therewith a summary of evidence in its 3 possession bearing on the matter and the transcript of testimony at any investigative hearing conducted by or on behalf of the 4 Board. 5 NRS 463.310(1)(a) and (b), and (2). 12. In response to a Complaint brought by the Board, NRS 463.310(4) provides in rel-6 7 evant part that the Commission may: 8 (a) Limit, condition, suspend or revoke the license of any licensed gaming establishment or the individual license of any li-9 censee without affecting the license of the establishment; 10 11 (d) Fine each person or entity or both, which is licensed, registered, found suitable . . . pursuant to this chapter or chapter 12 464 of NRS . . . : 13 14 (2) . . . not more than \$100,000 for each separate violation of the provisions of this chapter or chapter 464 or 465 of NRS or of 15 the regulations of the Commission which is the subject of an initial complaint and not more than \$250,000 for each separate 16 violation of the provisions of this chapter or chapter 464 or 465 of NRS or of the regulations of the Commission which is the 17 subject of any subsequent complaint. NRS 463.310(4)(a) and (d)(2). 18 19 13. Pursuant to NGC Regulation 5.160(9): 20 Each licensee and applicant must submit a written casino surveillance system plan to the chairman. The plan must be in a 21form approved or required by the chairman, and must include a description of all equipment utilized in the casino surveillance 22 system, a blueprint or diagram that shows all of the areas to be monitored and the placement of surveillance equipment in rela-23 tion to the activities being observed, a description of the procedures utilized in the operation of the casino surveillance sys-24 tem, and any other information required by the casino surveillance standards. If an applicant will not be conducting or a li-25 censee does not conduct an activity that is addressed in the casino surveillance standards, then the plan must include a 26 statement to that effect. Each applicant must submit its plan within 60 days after its application is filed. Thereafter, the plan 27 must be amended and the amendments to the plan or the plan as amended must be submitted to the board on an annual basis 28 by each licensee, to reflect any modification made to the licen-

. 

see's casino surveillance system during the preceding year that resulted from (a) the repeal or revision of any existing casino surveillance standard or the adoption of any new casino surveillance standard, (b) a change in the layout or configuration of any area required to be monitored, or (c) any exemption granted by the chairman pursuant to subsection 8. If no such modifications were made, then the licensee must submit a statement to the board to that effect.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.160(9).

- 14. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.060(1) and (2) provides:
  - 1. No applicant, licensee or enrolled person shall neglect or refuse to produce records or evidence or to give information upon proper and lawful demand by a board or commission member or any agent of the board, or shall otherwise interfere, or attempt to interfere, with any proper and lawful efforts by the commission, the board, or any agent to produce such information.
  - 2. Each gaming licensee, licensed manufacturer, and licensed distributor or seller shall immediately make available for inspection by any board or commission member or agent all papers, books and records produced by any gaming business and all portions of the premises where gaming is conducted or where gambling devices or equipment are manufactured, sold or distributed. Any board or commission member or agent shall be given immediate access to any portion of the premises of any gaming licensee, licensed manufacturer or licensed distributor or seller for the purpose of inspecting or examining any records or documents required to be kept by such licensee under the provisions of NRS chapter 463 or the regulations of the Nevada gaming commission, and any gaming device or equipment or the conduct of any gaming activity.

Nev. Gaming Comm. Reg. 5.060(1) and (2) (emphasis added).

## COUNT I

## <u>VIOLATION OF NGC REGULATION 5.011(8) AND/OR 5.160(9) - FAILURE TO</u> <u>FILE A WRITTEN CASINO SURVEILLANCE PLAN AND/OR REPORT FOR 2017</u>

- 15. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 14 above.
- 16. Pursuant to NGC Regulation 5.160(9), a gaming licensee is required, on an annual basis, to provide the Chair of the BOARD a report updating the licensee's surveillance plan for any amendments to the surveillance plan that were made during the preceding year, or, if there were no changes to the licensee's surveillance plan, the licensee must submit a written report to the Chair of the BOARD indicating that there was no change to the surveillance plan during the year.

- 17. Respondent STAGESTOP failed to submit its 2017 annual surveillance plan and/or report indicating there were no changes made to its surveillance plan during the year, which constitutes a violation of NGC Regulation 5.160(9).
- 18. By failing to comply with NGC Regulation 5.160(9), STAGESTOP failed to comply with or make provision for compliance with all state laws and regulations pertaining to the operation of a licensed gaming establishment in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(8).
- 19. The failure to comply with NGC Regulations 5.011(8) and/or 5.160(9) constitutes an unsuitable method of operation and provides grounds for disciplinary action against STAGESTOP. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2) and 5.030.

### COUNT II

# VIOLATION OF NGC REGULATION 5.011(8) AND/OR 5.160(9) - FAILURE TO FILE A WRITTEN CASINO SURVEILLANCE PLAN AND/OR REPORT FOR 2016

- 20. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 19 above.
- 21. Pursuant to NGC Regulation 5.160(9), a gaming licensee is required, on an annual basis, to provide the Chair of the BOARD a report updating the licensee's surveillance plan for any amendments to the surveillance plan that were made during the preceding year, or, if there were no changes to the licensee's surveillance plan, the licensee must submit a written report to the Chair of the BOARD indicating that there was no change to the surveillance plan during the year.
- 22. Respondent STAGESTOP failed to submit its 2016 annual surveillance plan and/or report indicating there were no changes made to its surveillance plan during the year, which constitutes a violation of NGC Regulation 5.160(9).
- 23. By failing to comply with NGC Regulation 5.160(9), STAGESTOP failed to comply with or make provision for compliance with all state laws and regulations pertaining to the operation of a licensed gaming establishment in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(8).
- 24. The failure to comply with NGC Regulations 5.011(8) and/or 5.160(9) constitutes an unsuitable method of operation and provides grounds for disciplinary action against STAGESTOP. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2) and 5.030.

# 5

## 6

# 7

## 8 9

# 10

# 11

- 12
- 13

## 14

- 15
- 16

## 17

- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23

## 24

## 25

## 26 27

# 28

## VIOLATION OF NGC REGULATION 5.011(8) AND/OR 5.160(9) - FAILURE TO FILE A WRITTEN CASINO SURVEILLANCE PLAN AND/OR REPORT FOR 2016

- 25. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 24 above.
- 26. Pursuant to NGC Regulation 5.160(9), a gaming licensee is required, on an annual basis, to provide the Chair of the BOARD a report updating the licensee's surveillance plan for any amendments to the surveillance plan that were made during the preceding year, or, if there were no changes to the licensee's surveillance plan, the licensee must submit a written report to the Chair of the BOARD indicating that there was no change to the surveillance plan during the year.
- 27. Based on BOARD records, Respondent STAGESTOP has failed to submit its annual surveillance plan and/or report indicating there were no changes made to its surveillance plan for any of the years prior to 2016 in which it has exposed gaming to the public for play.
- 28. The failure of STAGESTOP to file the above referenced plan(s) and/or report(s) constitutes one or more violations of NGC Regulation 5.160(9).
- 29. By failing to comply with NGC Regulation 5.160(9), STAGESTOP failed to comply with or make provision for compliance with all state laws and regulations pertaining to the operation of a licensed gaming establishment in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(8).
- 30. The failure to comply with NGC Regulations 5.011(8) and/or 5.160(9) constitutes an unsuitable method of operation and provides grounds for disciplinary action against STAGESTOP. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2) and 5.030.

## VIOLATION OF NGC REGULATION 5.011(8), 5.060(1) AND/OR (2) - FAILURE TO RESPOND TO BOARD INQUIRIES AND/OR REQUESTS FOR IN

- 31. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 30 above.
- 32. Pursuant to NGC Regulation 5.060(1), no licensee may neglect to provide the BOARD with records or information upon lawful demand by an agent of the BOARD.

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24 25

26

27

28

Further, NGC Regulation 5.060(2) states that a licensee must make its records available to an agent of the BOARD immediately upon request.

- 33. STAGESTOP and/or HOLMES failed to respond to multiple and repeated requests and inquiries by one or more agents of the BOARD for records and information regarding STAGESTOP's surveillance plan filings for 2016 and 2017. The requests and inquiries are summarized as follows:
- a. In conjunction with the BOARD's 2016 annual surveillance plan audit of STAGESTOP, BOARD staff made several written and telephonic attempts to contact HOLMES regarding the 2016 annual surveillance plan submission for STAGESTOP. When finally reached, BOARD staff reminded HOLMES the regulatory requirements regarding the annual reporting requirements for surveillance plans and provided a copy of Enforcement Form 125, which provides a template for the annual reporting requirement that licensee's may use. Neither STAGESTOP nor HOLMES submitted the 2016 report.
- b. On January 30, 2017, an agent of the BOARD emailed HOLMES to once again inquire about the delinquent 2016 surveillance plan report. STAGESTOP and/or HOLMES did not respond to the BOARD agent's email.
- c. On July 13, 2017, the BOARD sent an industry notice out to all licensees informing them of the new electronic process of submitting annual surveillance plans.
- d. On July 14, 2017, HOLMES was included in a mass email from the BOARD that provided licensees with instructions on how to prepare and file their annual surveillance plan report electronically. Neither STAGESTOP nor HOLMES submitted the 2016 surveillance plan report electronically.
- e. On October 6, 2017, an agent of the BOARD resent the July email to all licensees to remind each of them of the requirement to file the 2017 surveillance plan report.
- f. On December 18, 2017, a supervisor from the BOARD's Enforcement Division telephoned HOLMES and left a voicemail advising him that HOLMES and/or the STAGESTOP could face disciplinary action if the 2017 surveillance plan report was not

28 || . .

submitted to the BOARD by the end of the calendar year. HOLMES did not return the supervisor's telephone call.

- g. On December 27, 2017, the same supervisor as in the previous paragraph telephoned the STAGESTOP and asked to speak with HOLMES. HOLMES was not available, so the supervisor briefed a bartender on the requirement of the annual surveillance report. The bartender agreed to compile the required report. Later that day an agent of the BOARD sent the bartender a copy of the form along with the instructions for filling it out.
- h. Despite the above conversations and assurances, as of the date of this Complaint, the BOARD has not received the annual surveillance plan report for either 2016 or 2017. Nor has the licensee reached out to the BOARD regarding the required reports.
- 34. The above failure to cooperate with the BOARD and provide the BOARD with requested information constitutes a violation of NGC Regulations 5.060(1) and/or (2) on the part of STAGESTOP and/or HOLMES.
- 35. Further, by not complying with NGC Regulations 5.060(1) and/or (2), STAGESTOP and/or HOLMES failed to comply with all state laws regarding the STAGESTOP's gaming operation in violation of NGC Regulation 5.011(8).
- 36. The failure to comply with NGC Regulations 5.011(8), 5.060(1) and/or (2) constitutes an unsuitable method of operation and provides grounds for disciplinary action against STAGESTOP. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2) and 5.030.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, based upon the allegations contained herein, which constitute reasonable cause for disciplinary action against STAGESTOP, pursuant to NRS 463.310, and NGC Regulations 5.010, 5.011 and 5.030 the BOARD prays for the relief set forth as follows:

1. That the Commission serve a copy of this Complaint on STAGESTOP pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute 463,312(2);

1	2. That the Commission fine STAGESTOP a monetary sum pursuant to the parame
2	ters defined at Nevada Revised Statute 463.310(4) for each separate violation of the pro
3	visions of the Nevada Gaming Control Act or the regulations of the Nevada Gaming
4	Commission;
5	3. That the Commission take action against STAGESTOP's gaming licenses pursu
6	ant to the parameters defined at Nevada Revised Statute 463.310(4); and
7	4. For such other and further relief as the Nevada Gaming Commission may deen
8	just and proper.
9	DATED this day of April
10	NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD
11	
12	BECKY HARRIS, Chair
13	For A Mind
14	SHAWN R. REID, Member
15	10m H Hankon
16	TERRY JOHNSON, Member
17	Submitted by:
18	ADAM PAUL LAXALT
19	Attorney General
20	By: The Magazi
21	EDWARD L. MAGAW Deputy Attorney General
22	Gaming Division (702) 486-3224
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	