Office of the Attorney General Gaming Division 555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NGC 15-07





STATE OF NEVADA

BEFORE THE NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION

NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD,

Complainant,

V.

HOTSPUR CASINOS NEVADA, INC., dba RAMPART CASINO AT THE RESORT AT SUMMERLIN,

Respondent.

STIPULATION FOR SETTLEMENT AND ORDER

The State of Nevada, on relation of its NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD (BOARD), Complainant herein, filed and served a Complaint in NGC Case No. 15-07, against HOTSPUR CASINOS NEVADA, INC., dba RAMPART CASINO AT THE RESORT AT SUMMERLIN (RAMPART), Respondent herein, alleging certain violations of the Nevada Gaming Control Act and Regulations of the Nevada Gaming Commission (Commission or NGC).

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED to by the BOARD and RAMPART that the Complaint, NGC Case No. 15-07, filed against RAMPART in the above-entitled case shall be settled on the following terms and conditions:

- RAMPART admits each and every allegation set forth the Complaint, NGC Case No. 15-07.
- 2. RAMPART fully understands and voluntarily waives the right to a public hearing on the charges and allegations set forth in the Complaint, the right to present and cross-examine witnesses, the right to a written decision on the merits of the Complaint, which must contain findings of fact and a determination of the issues presented, and the right to obtain judicial review of the Commission's decision.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 3. To assist the Commission in the evaluation of the matter encompassed by this Stipulation for Settlement, RAMPART offers the following:
- a. The patron in question was a long-time customer of the casino who was known by the casino's employees. The customer typically drinks alcoholic beverages while playing at the casino.
- b. The patron's play on the day in question was within the normal boundaries and parameters of his play.
- c. Throughout the day in question the patron played at the same table as his father, another well-known regular customer. The patron's mother was also present in the casino and she made intermittent visits with her husband and her son (the patron in question). The father did not consume any alcoholic beverages throughout his play, drinking only coffee. The casino's waitresses brought the father more coffee throughout the day and typically brought the patron more wine on the same occasions.
- d. The casino did cut off further service of alcoholic beverages to the player in question before the incident that led to another casino patron's complaint that the customer appeared to be intoxicated.
- e. No complaint was ever made by the player in question or by his parents to the casino or to gaming authorities.
- Subsequent to being notified by the BOARD of concerns and a possible complaint as a result of the incidents on the day in question, casino management undertook a review of the events in question and appropriate steps to avoid any future occurrences in the future. The following measures have been taken:
 - Review and revision of the relevant Responsible Gaming Policies;
- ii. All cocktail servers, bartenders and table game supervisors have completed training on the revised policies;
- iii. Annual training for employees in Table Games, Slots, Cage, Casino Marketing (Players Club and Casino Hosts), Security and Beverage departments now includes training in the revised Responsible Gaming Policies;

- iv. Responsible Gaming Policies training is now included in New Hire Orientation for both Rampart Casino and J.W. Marriott employees;
- v. The casino's general manager has emphasized to the Techniques of Alcohol Management (TAM) coordination trainer about the importance of emphasizing Responsible Gaming in all training programs;
- vi. The casino's general manager personally addressed and emphasized the importance of Responsible Gaming awareness in the casino's Annual Employee Meetings.
- g. There have not been any similar incidents since the incidents on the day noted in the Complaint.
- 4. RAMPART agrees to pay a fine in the total amount of TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$25,000) made payable to the *Nevada Gaming Commission* via electronic fund transfer on the date this stipulated settlement agreement is accepted by the Commission in full settlement and satisfaction of the allegations set forth in the Complaint, NGC Case No. 15-07. Pursuant to NRS 17.130, interest shall accrue at FIVE and 1/2 PERCENT (5.5%) per annum on any unpaid balance computed from the date payment is due until payment is made in full.
- 5. In consideration for the execution of this settlement agreement, RAMPART, for itself, its heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns, hereby releases and forever discharges the State of Nevada, the Commission, the BOARD, the Nevada Attorney General and each of their members, agents, and employees in their individual and representative capacities, from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, suits, debts, judgments, executions, claims, and demands whatsoever known or unknown, in law and equity, that RAMPART ever had, now has, may have, or claims to have against any and all of the persons or entities named in this paragraph arising out of, or by reason of, the investigation of the allegations in the Complaint, this disciplinary action, NGC Case No. 15-07, or any other matter relating thereto.

. . . .

- 6. In consideration for the execution of this settlement agreement, RAMPART hereby indemnifies and holds harmless the State of Nevada, the Commission, the BOARD, the Nevada Attorney General, and each of their members, agents, and employees in their individual and representative capacities against any and all claims, suits and actions, brought against the persons named in this paragraph by reason of the investigation of the allegations in the Complaint, filed in this disciplinary action, NGC Case No. 15-07, and all other matters relating thereto, and against any and all expenses, damages, charges and costs, including court costs and attorney fees, which may be sustained by the persons and entities named in this paragraph as a result of said claims, suits and actions.
- 7. RAMPART enters into this Stipulation for Settlement freely and voluntarily, and with the assistance of legal counsel. RAMPART acknowledges that this stipulated settlement is not the product of force, threats, or any other form of coercion or duress, but is the product of discussions between legal counsel for RAMPART and the attorney for the BOARD.
- 8. RAMPART affirmatively represents that if RAMPART, this Stipulation for Settlement, and/or any amounts distributed under this stipulation for settlement are subject to, or will become subject to, the jurisdiction of any bankruptcy court, the bankruptcy court's approval is not necessary for this Stipulation for Settlement to become effective, or that the bankruptcy court has already approved this Stipulation for Settlement.
- 9. RAMPART and the BOARD acknowledge that this settlement is made to avoid litigation and economize resources. The parties agree and understand that this Stipulation for Settlement is intended to operate as full and final settlement of the Complaint filed against RAMPART in the above-entitled disciplinary case, NGC Case No. 15-07.
- 10. RAMPART and the BOARD recognize and agree that the Commission has the sole and absolute discretion to determine whether to accept this stipulated settlement agreement. RAMPART and the BOARD hereby waive any right they may have to challenge the impartiality of the Commission to hear the above-entitled case on the matters embraced in the Complaint if the Commission determines not to accept this stipulated settlement agreement. If the Commission does not accept the Stipulation for Settlement, it shall be withdrawn as null

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

and void and RAMPART's admissions, if any, that certain violations of the Nevada Gaming Control Act and the Regulations of the Commission occurred shall be withdrawn.

- 11. RAMPART and the BOARD agree and understand that this settlement agreement is intended to operate as full and final settlement of the Complaint filed in NGC Case No. 15-07. The parties further agree and understand that any oral representations are superseded by this settlement agreement and that only those terms memorialized in writing herein shall be effective.
- 12. RAMPART agrees and understands that although this Stipulation for Settlement, if approved by the Commission, will settle the Complaint filed in NGC Case No. 15-07 that the allegations contained in the Complaint filed in NGC Case No. 15-07 and the terms of this settlement agreement may be considered by the BOARD and/or the Commission, with regards to any and all applications by RAMPART that are currently pending before the BOARD or the Commission, or that are filed in the future with the BOARD.
- 13. RAMPART and the BOARD shall each bear their own costs incurred in this disciplinary action, NGC Case No. 15-07.
- 14. RAMPART fully understands and voluntarily waives the 21-day and the 5-day notice requirements found under NRS 241.033 and 241.034, including the content requirements of such notices, in relation to any hearing before the Commission on this settlement agreement that may be held.

20 ||.

21 || .

22 || . . .

23 ||...

24 || . . .

25 ||....

26 ...

27 || . . .

28 || .