14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RECEIVED/FILED AUG 17 2010 NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION CARSON CITY, NEVADA

NGC 10-05

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

#### STATE OF NEVADA

#### **REFORE THE NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION**

STATE GAMING CONTROL BOARD,	)
Complainant,	
VS.	COMPLAINT
DESERT PALACE, INC., dba CAESARS PALACE	
Respondent.	<b>/</b>

The State of Nevada, on relation of its State Gaming Control Board (BOARD), Complainant herein, by and through its counsel, CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO, Attorney General, and by MICHAEL P. SOMPS, Senior Deputy Attorney General, hereby files this Complaint for disciplinary action against Respondent pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute (NRS) 463.310(2) and alleges as follows:

- 1. Complainant, BOARD, is an administrative agency of the State of Nevada duly organized and existing under and by virtue of chapter 463 of NRS and is charged with the administration and enforcement of the gaming laws of this state as set forth in Title 41 of NRS and the Regulations of the Nevada Gaming Commission.
- 2. Respondent, DESERT PALACE, INC., dba CAESARS PALACE (CAESARS), located at 3570 South Las Vegas Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada is a Nonrestricted Group I licensee and is licensed to operate gaming in Nevada.

## RELEVANT LAW

- 3. The Nevada Legislature has declared under NRS 463.0129(1) that:
  - (a) The gaming industry is vitally important to the economy of the State and the general welfare of the inhabitants.
    - (b) The continued growth and success of gaming is dependent upon public confidence and trust that licensed gaming

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

and the manufacture, sale and distribution of gaming devices and associated equipment are conducted honestly and competitively, that establishments which hold restricted and nonrestricted licenses where gaming is conducted and where gambling devices are operated do not unduly impact the quality of life enjoyed by residents of the surrounding neighborhoods, that the rights of the creditors of licensees are protected and that gaming is free from criminal and corruptive elements.

(c) Public confidence and trust can only be maintained by strict regulation of all persons, locations, practices, associations and activities related to the operation of licensed gaming establishments, the manufacture, sale or distribution of gaming devices and associated equipment and the operation of intercasino linked systems.

NRS 463.0129(1)(a), (b) and (c).

- 4. The Nevada Gaming Commission has full and absolute power and authority to limit, condition, restrict, revoke or suspend any license, or fine any person licensed, for any cause deemed reasonable. See NRS 463.1405(4).
- 5. The BOARD is authorized to observe the conduct of licensees in order to ensure that the gaming operations are not being conducted in an unsuitable manner. See NRS 463.1405(1).
- 6. This continuing obligation is repeated in Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.040, which provides as follows:

A gaming license is a revocable privilege, and no holder thereof shall be deemed to have acquired any vested rights therein or thereunder. The burden of proving his qualifications to hold any license rests at all times on the licensee. The board is charged by law with the duty of observing the conduct of all licensees to the end that licenses shall not be held by unqualified or disqualified persons or unsuitable persons or persons whose operations are conducted in an unsuitable manner.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.040.

7. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.010(2) further provides that "[r]esponsibility for the employment and maintenance of suitable methods of operation rests with the licensee, and willful or persistent use or toleration of methods of operation deemed unsuitable will constitute grounds for license revocation or other disciplinary action."

]] - - -

|| . . .

8. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.011 states, in relevant part, as follows:

The board and the commission deem any activity on the part of any licensee, his agents or employees, that is inimical to the public health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the people of the State of Nevada, or that would reflect or tend to reflect discredit upon the State of Nevada or the gaming industry, to be an unsuitable method of operation and shall be grounds for disciplinary action by the board and the commission in accordance with the Nevada Gaming Control Act and the regulations of the board and the commission. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the following acts or omissions may be determined to be unsuitable methods of operation:

1. Failure to exercise discretion and sound judgment to prevent incidents which might reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the development of the industry.

10. Failure to conduct gaming operations in accordance with proper standards of custom, decorum and decency, or permit any type of conduct in the gaming establishment which reflects or tends to reflect on the repute of the State of Nevada and act as a detriment to the gaming industry.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.011(1) and (10).

9. Nevada Gaming Commission Regulation 5.030 provides as follows:

Violation of any provision of the Nevada Gaming
Control Act or of these regulations by a licensee, his agent or
employee shall be deemed contrary to the public health, safety,
morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the
State of Nevada and grounds for suspension or revocation of a
license. Acceptance of a state gaming license or renewal thereof
by a licensee constitutes an agreement on the part of the licensee
to be bound by all of the regulations of the commission as the
same now are or may hereafter be amended or promulgated. It is
the responsibility of the licensee to keep himself informed of
the content of all such regulations, and ignorance thereof will
not excuse violations.

Nev. Gaming Comm'n Reg. 5.030 (emphasis added).

- 10. NRS 463.310(4)(d)(2) states in relevant part that the Commission may:
  - (d) Fine each person or entity or both, who was licensed, registered or found suitable pursuant to this chapter or chapter 464 of NRS or who previously obtained approval for any act or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

transaction for which Commission approval was required or permitted under the provisions of this chapter or chapter 464 of NRS:

(2) Except as otherwise provided in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, not more than \$100,000 for each separate violation of the provisions of this chapter or chapter 464 or 465 of NRS or of the regulations of the Commission which is the subject of an initial complaint and not more than \$250,000 for each separate violation of the provisions of this chapter or chapter 464 or 465 of NRS or of the regulations of the Commission which is the subject of anv subsequent complaint.

NRS 463.310(4)(d)(2).

## **BACKGROUND**

- 11. On October 10, 2009, a CAESARS patron was playing baccarat in the high limit baccarat room at CAESARS, which is a room approved to be used as a private gaming salon but was being utilized for public gaming.
- 12. Over the course of an approximate forty-five minute period, the following occurred while wagering was taking place at the baccarat table:
- a. The CAESARS patron climbed onto the baccarat table from his chair, walked on the baccarat table and placed baccarat wagers before returning to stand on his chair and eventually sitting in his chair.
- b. The CAESARS patron climbed onto the baccarat table a second time, walked on the baccarat table, danced on the baccarat table and placed baccarat wagers before climbing off of the baccarat table.
  - c. The CAESARS patron stood on a chair at the baccarat table.
- d. The CAESARS patron climbed onto the baccarat table a third time and walked on the baccarat table before climbing off of the baccarat table onto a chair and eventually climbing off of the chair.
- 13. At no point during the above-described behavior did CAESARS personnel stop the behavior engaged in by the CAESARS patron.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

## COUNT ONE

# VIOLATION OF NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATIONS 5.011(1) AND (10)

- 14. Complainant BOARD realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth in full herein paragraphs 1 through 13 above.
- 15. CAESARS failed to immediately recognize a potential compromise to game protection and patron safety and failed to immediately take any remedial action.
- 16. CAESARS' failure take action to prevent its patrons from engaging in the abovedescribed behavior constitutes a violation of Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.011(1) and/or (10).
- 17. CAESARS' failure to comply with Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.011(1) and/or (10) is an unsuitable method of operation and is grounds for disciplinary action. See Nev. Gaming Comm'n Regs. 5.010(2) and 5.030.

WHEREFORE, based upon the allegations contained herein which constitute reasonable cause for disciplinary action against CAESARS, pursuant to NRS 463.310, and Nevada Gaming Commission Regulations 5.010, 5.011 and 5.030 the STATE GAMING CONTROL BOARD prays for the relief as follows:

- 1. That the Nevada Gaming Commission serve a copy of this Complaint on CAESARS pursuant to NRS 463.312(2);
- 2. That the Nevada Gaming Commission fine CAESARS a monetary sum pursuant to the parameters defined at NRS 463.310(4) for each separate violation of the provisions of the Nevada Gaming Control Act or the Regulations of the Nevada Gaming Commission;
- 3. That the Nevada Gaming Commission take action against CAESARS' license or licenses pursuant to the parameters defined in NRS 463.310(4); and

25 . . . .

. . . .

26

28

Gaming Division 5420 Kietzke Lare, Suite 202 Reno, Nevada 89511