



NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD

DISPOSITION JUNE 2026 MEETING

NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD MEETING
NEVADA HEARING ROOMS BUILDING
7120 AMIGO STREET, ROOM 3
LAS VEGAS, NV 89119

Wednesday, June 10, 2026

- 9:00 a.m.** • Public Comments
- Approval of Prior Month GCB Dispositions
- Nonrestricted Items **#01-06-26** through **#10-06-26**

- 1:30 p.m.** • Restricted Items **#01-06-26** through **#16-06-26**
- Casino/Patron Dispute Appeals Pursuant to NRS 463.363
- Public Comments

Members Present:

Mike Dreitzer, Chairman
Hon. George Assad (Ret.), Member
Chandeni K. Sendall, Member

**DISPOSITION
INDEX
JUNE 2026**

i

7-11 Store #32130	R #6	Las Vegas Resort Holdings, LLC.....	NR #7
7-11 Store #32225	R #8	LIG Damonte LLC	R #4
7-Eleven Store #29767B	R #7	LIG Hospitality LLC	R #4
7-Eleven Store #32303C.....	R #5	Longhorn Casino.....	NR #5
Adventure Coffee Company.....	R #11	Lucky 7's Lounge	R #14
Agriculture District #13	NR #1	MEI-GSR Holdings LLC	NR #7
Amici Pizzeria and Bar	R #4	Parag M. Vora Revocable Trust, The	NR #9
Arcana, Stephen Anthony	R #3	Philly Special, LLC	R #3
Bajwa, Jasdev Singh.....	R #5	Poker Palace Investments LLC	NR #10
Bally's Corporation (PTC)	NR #3, 4	Premier Entertainment Tahoe, LLC	NR #3
Bally's Management Group LLC	NR #3, 4	Roam'n Stop	R #12
Bighorn Casino.....	NR #5	Rounders Grilling & Gaming Company.....	R #13, 16
Boomer's Sports Book LLC.....	NR #6	Sahara Las Vegas	NR #7
Boomer's Sports Book	NR #6	Sallie E. Becker 2017 Gaming Trust, The	R #9
Boulder Partners, Inc	R #1	SB Gaming, LLC	NR #7
Brimstone, LLC.....	R #9	Scarpulla, Christopher Randall	R #3
Carson Fuel, LLC	R #5	Shams, Sonika	R #8
Century Gaming Technologies.....	R #6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12	Singh, Sukhwinder	R #6, 7
Club Fortune Casino North	NR #10	Sullivan, Michael John	R #2
Delmont Uptown, The	R #3	Sultan, Inc.	R #7
DeSimone Gaming Inc.	NR #5	Sultan II, Inc.	R #6
DeSimone Gaming Trust, The	NR #5	Sunrise Casablanca.....	R #2
Eaton, Craig Loren	NR #3	SYZ&I, Corp.....	R #8
ECL Gaming.....	R #13, 14, 15, 16	Tropicana Las Vegas Hotel and Casino, Inc.	NR #3, 4
Eclipse Route Operations LLC.....	R #13, 14, 15, 16	Tropicana Las Vegas Intermediate Holdings Inc.	NR #3, 4
Elko County Fair Board, The.....	NR #2	Tropicana Las Vegas, Inc.	NR #3
Ernest A. Becker V 2017 Gaming Trust, The.....	R #9	United Coin Machine Co.	R #6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12
Estate of Peter D. Burnes	R #1	Van Loo, Cathleen Dalene.....	R #1
Fisher, Tracy Mae	R #1	Van Loo, Nicholas Joseph	R #1
Four Jacks Hotel & Casino, Inc.....	NR #6	Vora, Parag Mahesh	NR #9
Four Jacks Hotel and Casino - Race Book and Sports Pool.....	NR #6		
Four Jacks Hotel and Casino	NR #6		
G PEG I, LLC	NR #8		
Golden Route Operations LLC.....	R #5		
Golden Route Operations	R #5		
GR Regency Gaming, LLC	NR #10		
Green Valley Grocery #56.....	R #10		
Hayden, Noel Thomas John.....	NR #3		
HG Vora Capital Management, LLC	NR #9		
HG Vora Management (GP), LLC	NR #9		
HG Vora Management, LP.....	NR #9		
Irish Holdings, Ltd.	R #2		
Jackpot Joanie's.....	R #15		
Kanakis, Sherri Lyn	R #1		

**DISPOSITION
PUBLIC COMMENTS
JUNE 2026
PAGE 1**

This public comments agenda item is provided in accordance with NRS 241.020(3)(d)(3) which requires an agenda provide for a period devoted to comments by the general public, if any, and discussion of those comments. No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action will be taken. Comments by the public may be limited to three minutes as a reasonable time, place and manner restriction, but may not be limited based upon viewpoint.

PUBLIC COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION:

Comments taken from Members of the Culinary and Bartenders Unions regarding Station Casinos. Refer to Public Comments Attachment 1. Member Assad made comments regarding an upcoming meeting for Primm Casino.

**DISPOSITION
APPROVAL OF PRIOR MONTH GCB DISPOSITIONS
JUNE 2026
PAGE 2**

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

Pursuant to NRS 241.035, approval of:

Nevada Gaming Control Board Disposition for May 2026, and the Disposition for the Special Meeting held on May 21, 2026.

GCB DISPOSITION: APPROVED.

**DISPOSITION
NONRESTRICTED AGENDA
JUNE 2026
PAGE 3**

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

01-06-26 N26-0408 Re: 17534-01
AGRICULTURE DISTRICT #13
801 CAMPTON ST
ELY, NV 89301

**APPLICATION FOR AWARDING OF RACE DATES FOR AUGUST 21
THROUGH AUGUST 23, 2026**

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL.

NGC DISPOSITION:

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

02-06-26 N26-0380 Re: 17158-01
THE ELKO COUNTY FAIR BOARD
FAIRGROUND RD
ELKO, NV 89801

**APPLICATION FOR AWARDING OF RACE DATES FOR AUGUST 28
THROUGH SEPTEMBER 7, 2026**

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL.

NGC DISPOSITION:

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

03-06-26 N24-0399 Re: 35295-01
N24-0477 BALLY'S CORPORATION (PTC)
100 WESTMINSTER ST
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903

NOEL THOMAS JOHN HAYDEN
Beneficial Owner

APPLICATION FOR FINDING OF SUITABILITY AS A BENEFICIAL OWNER

Re: 36122-01
BALLY'S MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
(Bally's Corporation (PTC) – 100%)
100 WESTMINSTER ST
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903

CRAIG LOREN EATON
Manager

APPLICATION FOR FINDING OF SUITABILITY AS A MANAGER

**DISPOSITION
NONRESTRICTED AGENDA
JUNE 2026
PAGE 4**

Re: 29085-01
PREMIER ENTERTAINMENT TAHOE, LLC
(dba Bally's Lake Tahoe Casino Resort)
(Bally's Management Group LLC – 100%)
55 HWY 50
STATELINE, NV 89449

CRAIG LOREN EATON
Manager

APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A MANAGER

Re: 30881-01
TROPICANA LAS VEGAS HOTEL AND CASINO, INC.
(Bally's Management Group LLC – 100%)
100 WESTMINSTER ST
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903

and

30882-01
TROPICANA LAS VEGAS INTERMEDIATE HOLDINGS INC.
(Tropicana Las Vegas Hotel and Casino, Inc. – 100%)
100 WESTMINSTER ST
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903

CRAIG LOREN EATON
Director

APPLICATIONS FOR FINDING OF SUITABILITY AS A DIRECTOR

Re: 30879-01
TROPICANA LAS VEGAS, INC.
(dba Tropicana Las Vegas)
(Tropicana Las Vegas Intermediate Holdings Inc. – 100%)
100 WESTMINSTER ST
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903

CRAIG LOREN EATON
Director

APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A DIRECTOR

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL.

NGC DISPOSITION:

**DISPOSITION
NONRESTRICTED AGENDA
JUNE 2026
PAGE 5**

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

04-06-26 N25-0135 Re: 35295-01
BALLY'S CORPORATION (PTC)
100 WESTMINSTER ST
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903

**APPLICATION TO PLEDGE THE MEMBERSHIP INTEREST OF BALLY'S
MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC TO ARES AGENT SERVICES, L.P., AS COLLATERAL
AGENT, IN CONJUNCTION WITH A CREDIT AGREEMENT**

APPLICATION FOR AMENDMENT TO ORDER OF REGISTRATION

Re: 36122-01
BALLY'S MANAGEMENT GROUP LLC
(Bally's Corporation (PTC) – 100%)
100 WESTMINSTER ST
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903

**APPLICATIONS TO PLEDGE THE EQUITY SECURITIES OF TROPICANA LAS
VEGAS HOTEL AND CASINO, INC., AND THE MEMBERSHIP INTEREST OF
PREMIER ENTERTAINMENT TAHOE, LLC, TO ARES AGENT SERVICES, L.P., AS
COLLATERAL AGENT, IN CONJUNCTION WITH A CREDIT AGREEMENT**

Re: 30881-01
TROPICANA LAS VEGAS HOTEL AND CASINO, INC.
(Bally's Management Group LLC – 100%)
100 WESTMINSTER ST
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903

**APPLICATION TO PLEDGE THE EQUITY SECURITIES OF TROPICANA LAS VEGAS
INTERMEDIATE HOLDINGS INC. TO ARES AGENT SERVICES, L.P., AS
COLLATERAL AGENT, IN CONJUNCTION WITH A CREDIT AGREEMENT**

Re: 30882-01
TROPICANA LAS VEGAS INTERMEDIATE HOLDINGS INC.
(Tropicana Las Vegas Hotel and Casino, Inc. – 100%)
100 WESTMINSTER ST
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903

**APPLICATION TO PLEDGE THE EQUITY SECURITIES OF TROPICANA LAS VEGAS,
INC., TO ARES AGENT SERVICES, L.P., AS COLLATERAL AGENT, IN
CONJUNCTION WITH A CREDIT AGREEMENT**

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL, FOURTH REVISED ORDER OF REGISTRATION, DRAFT #1.

NGC DISPOSITION:

**DISPOSITION
NONRESTRICTED AGENDA
JUNE 2026
PAGE 7**

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

06-06-26 N26-0453 Re: 00165-06
N26-0601 37209-01
BOOMER'S SPORTS BOOK LLC, dba
BOOMER'S SPORTS BOOK, db at
FOUR JACKS HOTEL AND CASINO – RACE BOOK AND SPORTS POOL
1702 US HWY 93
JACKPOT, NV 89825

db at

FOUR JACKS HOTEL AND CASINO
1702 US HWY 93
JACKPOT, NV 89825

**APPLICATION FOR A NONRESTRICTED GAMING LICENSE
(RACE BOOK AND SPORTS POOL ONLY)**

**APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE TO CONDUCT OFF-TRACK PARI-MUTUEL
RACE AND SPORTS WAGERING**

Re: 21015-01
04586-02
FOUR JACKS HOTEL & CASINO, INC., dba
FOUR JACKS HOTEL AND CASINO
1702 US HWY 93
JACKPOT, NV 89825

**APPLICATION TO RECEIVE A PERCENTAGE OF GAMING REVENUE FROM THE
RACE BOOK AND SPORTS POOL, INCLUDING OFF-TRACK PARI-MUTUEL RACE
AND SPORTS WAGERING, OPERATED BY BOOMER'S SPORTS BOOK, DB AT
FOUR JACKS HOTEL AND CASINO – RACE BOOK AND SPORTS POOL**

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL, CONDITIONED:

- 1) THE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM MUST BE INSPECTED AND APPROVED BY THE NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD ENFORCEMENT DIVISION WITHIN 60 DAYS OF ISSUANCE OF THE STATE GAMING LICENSE AND THEREAFTER MAINTAINED AT OR ABOVE THE STANDARD THAT HAS BEEN APPROVED.**
- 2) PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF RACE BOOK AND SPORTS POOL AND/OR PARI-MUTUEL WAGERING POOL OPERATIONS, AN EXECUTED RESERVE AGREEMENT MUST BE RECEIVED AND APPROVED BY THE NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD (TAX & LICENSE DIVISION), PURSUANT TO NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION REGULATIONS 5.225 AND 22.040.**
- 3) EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE PROVIDED FOR BY THE NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD CHAIR OR THE CHAIR'S DESIGNEE, THE TICKET WRITERS MUST BE EMPLOYEES OF BOOMER'S SPORTS BOOK LLC.**
- 4) ANY CHANGE IN THE AGREEMENT OR THE CREATION OF ANY NEW AGREEMENT BETWEEN BOOMER'S SPORTS BOOK LLC AND FOUR JACKS HOTEL & CASINO, INC., MUST BE REPORTED TO THE NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD WITHIN 30 DAYS OF SUCH CHANGE.**

----- ITEM CONTINUED NEXT PAGE -----

**DISPOSITION
NONRESTRICTED AGENDA
JUNE 2026
PAGE 9**

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

08-06-26 N26-0350 Re: 30892-01
G PEG I, LLC
1627 US HWY 395 N
MINDEN, NV 89423

**APPLICATION FOR PEGRAM, LLC, TO PLEDGE ITS MEMBERSHIP INTEREST IN
G PEG I, LLC, TO ZIONS BANCORPORATION, N.A., DBA NEVADA STATE BANK,
AS LENDER, IN CONJUNCTION WITH A CREDIT AGREEMENT**

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL.

NGC DISPOSITION:

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

09-06-26 N25-0063 Re: 36810-01
04-26 N25-0064 THE PARAG M. VORA REVOCABLE TRUST
330 MADISON AVE 21ST FL
NEW YORK, NY 10017

APPLICATION FOR REGISTRATION AS A HOLDING COMPANY

**APPLICATION FOR FINDING OF SUITABILITY AS THE SOLE MANAGING MEMBER
OF HG VORA MANAGEMENT (GP), LLC**

**APPLICATION FOR FINDING OF SUITABILITY AS A 99% LIMITED PARTNER OF HG
VORA MANAGEMENT, LP**

PARAG MAHESH VORA
Trustee/Beneficiary

APPLICATION FOR FINDING OF SUITABILITY AS A TRUSTEE AND BENEFICIARY

Re: 36809-01
HG VORA MANAGEMENT (GP), LLC
(The Parag M. Vora Revocable Trust – 100%)
330 MADISON AVE 21ST FL
NEW YORK, NY 10017

APPLICATION FOR REGISTRATION AS AN INTERMEDIARY COMPANY

**APPLICATION FOR FINDING OF SUITABILITY AS A 1% GENERAL PARTNER OF
HG VORA MANAGEMENT, LP**

----- ITEM CONTINUED NEXT PAGE -----

**DISPOSITION
NONRESTRICTED AGENDA
JUNE 2026
PAGE 10**

Re: 36808-01
HG VORA MANAGEMENT, LP
(The Parag M. Vora Revocable Trust – 99%)
(HG Vora Management (GP), LLC – 1%)
330 MADISON AVE 21ST FL
NEW YORK, NY 10017

APPLICATION FOR REGISTRATION AS AN INTERMEDIARY COMPANY

**APPLICATION FOR FINDING OF SUITABILITY AS THE SOLE MEMBER OF HG VORA
CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC**

Re: 36807-01
HG VORA CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
(HG Vora Management, LP – 100%)
330 MADISON AVE 21ST FL
NEW YORK, NY 10017

APPLICATION FOR REGISTRATION AS AN INTERMEDIARY COMPANY

**APPLICATION FOR FINDING OF SUITABILITY AS A SHAREHOLDER OF PENN
ENTERTAINMENT, INC. (PTC)**

PARAG MAHESH VORA
Manager

APPLICATION FOR FINDING OF SUITABILITY AS A MANAGER

GCB RECOMMENDS:

**APPROVAL, LIMITED TO EXPIRE AT MIDNIGHT OF THE APRIL 2029 NEVADA GAMING COMMISSION MEETING ON
THE DAY THE ITEMS ARE HEARD; CONDITIONED:**

- 1) HG VORA CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC, SHALL, ON A MONTHLY BASIS, SUBMIT A WRITTEN REPORT TO
THE NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD THAT IDENTIFIES ANY AND ALL COMMUNICATIONS OR CONTACT
IT, OR ITS REPRESENTATIVES OR AFFILIATES, HAVE HAD WITH A PTC REGISTERED WITH THE NEVADA
GAMING COMMISSION IN REGARD TO THE GOVERNANCE, OWNERSHIP, MANAGEMENT, OR OPERATION OF
SAID PTC. THE REPORT SHOULD PROVIDE THE DATE OF THE COMMUNICATION OR CONTACT, THE NATURE
OF THE COMMUNICATION OR CONTACT, THE RESULTS OF THE COMMUNICATION OR CONTACT, AND THE
INTENT BEHIND THE COMMUNICATION OR CONTACT. THE CHAIR OF THE NEVADA GAMING CONTROL
BOARD, OR THE CHAIR'S DESIGNEE, MAY MODIFY THE TIMING OF THESE REPORTS OR ELIMINATE THIS
REQUIREMENT AT THE CHAIR'S OR THE CHAIR'S DESIGNEE'S SOLE AND ABSOLUTE DISCRETION.**
- 2) THAT HG VORA CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC SHALL FUND AND MAINTAIN WITH THE BOARD A REVOLVING
FUND IN THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$50,000 FOR THE PURPOSE OF FUNDING INVESTIGATIVE REVIEWS BY
THE BOARD. WITHOUT LIMITING THE FOREGOING, THE BOARD SHALL HAVE THE RIGHT TO DRAW UPON
THE FUNDS OF THE ACCOUNT FOR PAYMENT OF COSTS AND EXPENSES INCURRED BY THE BOARD AND
ITS STAFF IN THE SURVEILLANCE, MONITORING, AND INVESTIGATIVE REVIEW OF ALL ACTIVITIES OF HG
VORA CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC AND ITS AFFILIATED ENTITIES.**

(ASSAD VOTED NO)

NGC DISPOSITION:

DISPOSITION
NONRESTRICTED AGENDA
JUNE 2026
PAGE 11

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

10-06-26 N26-0643 Re: 37021-01
00566-02
37022-01 (M)
37025-01 (D)
POKER PALACE INVESTMENTS LLC, dba
CLUB FORTUNE CASINO NORTH
2757 N LAS VEGAS BLVD
NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89030

GR REGENCY GAMING, LLC
Member/Manager

100%

**APPLICATION FOR A NONRESTRICTED GAMING LICENSE
(INCLUDING RACE BOOK AND SPORTS POOL)**

**APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE TO CONDUCT OFF-TRACK PARI-MUTUEL RACE
WAGERING**

APPLICATIONS FOR LICENSURE AS A MANUFACTURER AND DISTRIBUTOR

APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A MEMBER AND MANAGER

Re: 37021-01
00566-02
POKER PALACE INVESTMENTS LLC, dba
CLUB FORTUNE CASINO NORTH
2757 N LAS VEGAS BLVD
NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89030

**APPLICATION TO RECEIVE A PERCENTAGE OF GAMING REVENUE FROM THE
RACE BOOK AND SPORTS POOL, INCLUDING OFF-TRACK PARI-MUTUEL RACE
AND SPORTS WAGERING, OPERATED BY BOOMER'S SPORTS BOOK, DB AT
CLUB FORTUNE CASINO NORTH – RACE BOOK AND SPORTS POOL**

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL, CONDITIONED:

- 1) A KEY EMPLOYEE APPLICATION MUST BE FILED WITHIN 60 DAYS OF ISSUANCE OF THE STATE GAMING LICENSE, AND THEREAFTER BE REFILED WITHIN 60 DAYS OF ANY CHANGE IN THE PERSON OCCUPYING THAT POSITION.**
- 2) THE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM AND/OR MIRROR(S) MUST BE INSPECTED AND APPROVED BY THE NEVADA GAMING CONTROL BOARD ENFORCEMENT DIVISION WITHIN 60 DAYS OF ISSUANCE OF THE STATE GAMING LICENSE AND THEREAFTER BE MAINTAINED AT OR ABOVE THE STANDARD THAT IS APPROVED.**
- 3) THE MANUFACTURER'S LICENSE IS LIMITED TO THE MODIFICATION OF MACHINES THAT ARE, OR HAVE BEEN, UTILIZED IN THE OPERATIONS OF THE LICENSED LOCATION OR ITS AFFILIATED COMPANIES AND THAT ANY SUCH MODIFICATIONS SHALL BE LIMITED TO OPERATIONAL CONFIGURATION CHANGES SUCH AS REPLACEMENT OF ONE PRE-APPROVED COMPONENT WITH ANOTHER PRE-APPROVED COMPONENT OR MODIFICATIONS THAT WILL NOT AFFECT THE MANNER OR MODE OF PLAY OF THE DEVICE.**

----- ITEM CONTINUED NEXT PAGE -----

**DISPOSITION
NONRESTRICTED AGENDA
JUNE 2026
PAGE 12**

- 4) THE DISTRIBUTOR'S LICENSE IS LIMITED TO THE ACQUISITION OF MACHINES TO BE UTILIZED IN, OR THE DISTRIBUTION OF MACHINES WHICH HAVE BEEN UTILIZED IN, THE OPERATIONS OF THE LICENSED LOCATION OR ITS AFFILIATED COMPANIES, IF THOSE ENTITIES WOULD BE CONSIDERED AFFILIATED COMPANIES IF LOCATED INSIDE NEVADA.

NGC DISPOSITION:

**DISPOSITION
RESTRICTED AGENDA
JUNE 2026
PAGE 14**

Re: 29075-01
BOULDER PARTNERS, INC
(dba Breez Rite In)
6451 BOULDER HWY STE B
LAS VEGAS, NV 89122

ESTATE OF PETER D. BURNES 4.822%
(Transferor) (1,000 Shares Common Stock)

NICHOLAS JOSEPH VAN LOO 2.411%
(Transferee) (500 Shares Common Stock)

TRACY MAE FISHER 2.411%
(Transferee) (500 Shares Common Stock)

APPLICATIONS FOR A TRANSFER OF INTEREST

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL, CONDITIONED:

- 1) CATHLEEN DALENE VAN LOO SHALL HAVE NO DIRECT INVOLVEMENT OR INFLUENCE, WHATSOEVER, IN THE OPERATION OF BOULDER PARTNERS, INC.

NGC DISPOSITION:

FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION ONLY:

Q22-0579 Re: 29075-01
BOULDER PARTNERS, INC
(dba Breez Rite In)
6451 BOULDER HWY STE B
LAS VEGAS, NV 89122

NICHOLAS JOSEPH VAN LOO 4.441%
Shareholder (921 Shares Common Stock)

TRACY MAE FISHER 3.935%
Shareholder (816 Shares Common Stock)

**APPLICATIONS FOR REGISTRATION AS A MINORITY EQUITY INTEREST
SHAREHOLDER**

GCB DISPOSITION: CATHLEEN DALENE VAN LOO
Shareholder

**WITHDRAWAL GRANTED WITH PREJUDICE. APPLICATION FOR REGISTRATION AS A MINORITY EQUITY INTEREST
SHAREHOLDER – REQUEST TO WITHDRAW APPLICATION**

GCB DISPOSITION: APPROVED.

**DISPOSITION
RESTRICTED AGENDA
JUNE 2026
PAGE 15**

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

02-06-26 R10-0244 Re: 19145-01
03956-03
IRISH HOLDINGS, LTD., dba
SUNRISE CASABLANCA
6320 E CHARLESTON BLVD
LAS VEGAS, NV 89142

MICHAEL JOHN SULLIVAN
Secretary/Treasurer/General Manager

APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS AN OFFICER AND KEY EMPLOYEE

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL.

NGC DISPOSITION:

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

03-06-26 R26-0329 Re: 37143-01
27705-02
PHILLY SPECIAL, LLC, dba
THE DELMONT UPTOWN
11105 S EASTERN AVE STE 100
HENDERSON, NV 89052

CHRISTOPHER RANDALL SCARPULLA 50%
Member/Manager (500 Shares of Common Stock)

STEPHEN ANTHONY ARCANA 50%
Member/Manager (500 Shares of Common Stock)

APPLICATION FOR A RESTRICTED GAMING LICENSE

APPLICATIONS FOR LICENSURE AS A MEMBER AND MANAGER

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL.

NGC DISPOSITION:

**DISPOSITION
RESTRICTED AGENDA
JUNE 2026
PAGE 16**

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

04-06-26 R26-0130 Re: 36977-01
30027-04
LIG DAMONTE LLC, dba
AMICI PIZZERIA AND BAR
1141 STEAMBOAT PKWY STE 930
RENO, NV 89521

LIG HOSPITALITY LLC
Member/Manager

100%

APPLICATION FOR A RESTRICTED GAMING LICENSE

APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A MEMBER AND MANAGER

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL.

NGC DISPOSITION:

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

05-06-26 R26-0319 Re: 14180-01
22922-05
GOLDEN ROUTE OPERATIONS LLC, dba
GOLDEN ROUTE OPERATIONS, db at
7-ELEVEN STORE #32303C
3838 US HWY 50 E
CARSON CITY, NV 89701

CARSON FUEL, LLC
Business Operator

JASDEV SINGH BAJWA
Member/Manager

100%

**APPLICATION FOR CARSON FUEL, LLC, TO RECEIVE A PERCENTAGE OF
GAMING REVENUE FROM GOLDEN ROUTE OPERATIONS LLC, DBA GOLDEN
ROUTE OPERATIONS, DB AT 7-ELEVEN STORE #32303C**

APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A MEMBER AND MANAGER

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL.

NGC DISPOSITION:

**DISPOSITION
RESTRICTED AGENDA
JUNE 2026
PAGE 17**

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

06-06-26 R26-0170 Re: 04789-01
20528-01
UNITED COIN MACHINE CO., dba
CENTURY GAMING TECHNOLOGIES, db at
7-11 STORE #32130
4728 W CRAIG RD
NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89032

SULTAN II, INC.
Business Operator

SUKHWINDER SINGH 100%
Shareholder/Director/President/Secretary/Treasurer (5,000 Shares Common Stock)

**APPLICATION FOR SULTAN II, INC., TO RECEIVE A PERCENTAGE OF GAMING
REVENUE FROM UNITED COIN MACHINE CO., DBA CENTURY GAMING
TECHNOLOGIES, DB AT 7-11 STORE #32130**

APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A SHAREHOLDER, DIRECTOR, AND OFFICER

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL.

NGC DISPOSITION:

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

07-06-26 R26-0171 Re: 04789-01
16271-04
UNITED COIN MACHINE CO., dba
CENTURY GAMING TECHNOLOGIES, db at
7-ELEVEN STORE #29767B
2350 N RAINBOW BLVD
LAS VEGAS, NV 89108

SULTAN, INC.
Business Operator

SUKHWINDER SINGH 100%
Shareholder/Director/President/Secretary/Treasurer (5,000 Shares Common Stock)

**APPLICATION FOR SULTAN, INC., TO RECEIVE A PERCENTAGE OF GAMING
REVENUE FROM UNITED COIN MACHINE CO., DBA CENTURY GAMING
TECHNOLOGIES, DB AT 7-ELEVEN STORE #29767B**

APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A SHAREHOLDER, DIRECTOR, AND OFFICER

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL.

NGC DISPOSITION:

**DISPOSITION
RESTRICTED AGENDA
JUNE 2026
PAGE 18**

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

08-06-26 R26-0148 Re: 04789-01
24757-01
UNITED COIN MACHINE CO., dba
CENTURY GAMING TECHNOLOGIES, db at
7-11 STORE #32225
405 E SILVERADO RANCH BLVD
LAS VEGAS, NV 89183

SYZ&I, CORP.
Business Operator

SONIKA SHAMS 100%
Shareholder/Director/President/Secretary/Treasurer (5,000 Shares Common Stock)

**APPLICATION FOR SYZ&I, CORP., TO RECEIVE A PERCENTAGE OF GAMING
REVENUE FROM UNITED COIN MACHINE CO., DBA CENTURY GAMING
TECHNOLOGIES, DB AT 7-11 STORE #32225**

APPLICATION FOR LICENSURE AS A SHAREHOLDER, DIRECTOR, AND OFFICER

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL.

NGC DISPOSITION:

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

09-06-26 R26-0212 Re: 28792-01
BRIMSTONE, LLC
(dba Bomas)
8020 S DURANGO DR
LAS VEGAS, NV 89113

THE ERNEST A. BECKER V 2017 GAMING TRUST 50%
(Transferor)

THE SALLIE E. BECKER 2017 GAMING TRUST 50%
(Transferee)

APPLICATION FOR A TRANSFER OF INTEREST

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL.

NGC DISPOSITION:

**DISPOSITION
RESTRICTED AGENDA
JUNE 2026
PAGE 19**

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

10-06-26 R26-0269 Re: 04789-01
29159-04
UNITED COIN MACHINE CO., dba
CENTURY GAMING TECHNOLOGIES, db at
GREEN VALLEY GROCERY #56
4171 BOULDER HWY
LAS VEGAS, NV 89121

APPLICATION FOR A RESTRICTED GAMING LICENSE

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL.

NGC DISPOSITION:

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

11-06-26 R26-0473 Re: 04789-01
04678-07
UNITED COIN MACHINE CO., dba
CENTURY GAMING TECHNOLOGIES, db at
ADVENTURE COFFEE COMPANY
605 HWY 50 STE 1-4
ZEPHYR COVE, NV 89448

APPLICATION FOR A RESTRICTED GAMING LICENSE

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL.

NGC DISPOSITION:

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

12-06-26 R26-0457 Re: 04789-01
35279-03
UNITED COIN MACHINE CO., dba
CENTURY GAMING TECHNOLOGIES, db at
ROAM'N STOP
3910 E LAKE MEAD BLVD
LAS VEGAS, NV 89115

APPLICATION FOR A RESTRICTED GAMING LICENSE

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL.

NGC DISPOSITION:

**DISPOSITION
RESTRICTED AGENDA
JUNE 2026
PAGE 21**

FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

16-06-26 R26-0337 Re: 31863-01
30435-04
ECLIPSE ROUTE OPERATIONS LLC, dba
ECL GAMING, db at
ROUNDERS GRILLING & GAMING COMPANY
2940 BICENTENNIAL PKWY
HENDERSON, NV 89044

APPLICATION FOR A RESTRICTED GAMING LICENSE

GCB RECOMMENDS: APPROVAL.

NGC DISPOSITION:

**DISPOSITION
CASINO/PATRON DISPUTE APPEALS
PURSUANT TO NRS 463.363
JUNE 2026
PAGE 22**

CONSIDERATION OF HEARING EXAMINER'S RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING:

1. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

Case # 25LV00333

Lajarrius Hall
v.
MGM Grand Hotel/Casino

HEARING EXAMINER RECOMMENDS:

Agent's decision denying a payment of \$8,000 be affirmed.

GCB DISPOSITION: PAYMENT DENIED, PER GCB ORDER.

2. FOR POSSIBLE ACTION:

Case # 25RN00259

Rebeka Ranglova
v.
Eldorado Hotel Casino

HEARING EXAMINER RECOMMENDS:

Agent's decision denying a payment of \$4,500 be affirmed.

GCB DISPOSITION: PAYMENT DENIED, PER GCB ORDER.

**DISPOSITION
PUBLIC COMMENTS
JUNE 2026
PAGE 23**

This public comments agenda item is provided in accordance with NRS 241.020(3)(d)(3) which requires an agenda provide for a period devoted to comments by the general public, if any, and discussion of those comments. No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action will be taken. Comments by the public may be limited to three minutes as a reasonable time, place and manner restriction, but may not be limited based upon viewpoint.

PUBLIC COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION:

Chairman Dreitzer made comments thanking Board staff for all their hard work in his first 12 meetings as Chairman. Comments made regarding the dangers that can be found along the I-15 from California to Las Vegas.

Hello, my name is Matthew Lopez. I'm 30 years-old, and I work as a bellman at Station Casinos' Green Valley Ranch.

I was raised over the hill in Boulder City and started working at Green Valley Ranch in 2016 at 21-years-old.

As a bellman, not only do we deliver carts full of luggage and coolers through long hallways, we also drive high rollers all over the Las Vegas Valley - to and from the airports, casinos, and almost anywhere they want.

I make just a little over minimum wage. Minimum wage is twelve dollars per hour and my hourly wage is two dollars eleven over that.

In the last nine years, my co-workers and I voted to go union with a 78% vote YES. However, in these last few years our feeling of being disrespected has only grown.

The raises are insufficient and rare, our hours are inconsistent, and the appreciation days are basically glorified pizza parties.

I believe that one job should be enough so myself and my coworkers earn fair wages with predictable guaranteed wage increases and job security with consistent scheduling.

This isn't just about our present, but our future too.

When someone enjoys what they do it's easy to ignore the bad.

In situations like these, I hope the company sees that I am more than just a number.

I appreciate your time and thank you for listening.

1 A. And then obviously she had to facilitate the
2 communication and, you know, anything was being sent
3 down from hanging posters or, you know, sending the
4 message to property via corporate. That was
5 obviously in her role.

6 Q. And could you describe during this period of
7 like June of 2020 to September of 2020, were there,
8 what was the level of like new communications being
9 posted in the back of the house? Could you describe
10 that for us?

11 A. Well, you have a good example of it in the one
12 that you showed me here that was just kind of
13 comparisons of what benefits may look like. You
14 know, if we paid folks, you know, why we were
15 closed, things like things of that nature.

16 Q. But were there new messaging happening on a
17 regular basis or was it just, okay, here's the
18 messaging and it stayed in the back of the house the
19 same way?

20 A. It seemed like it stepped up based on Phil's
21 guidance to kind of have more of the anti-culinary
22 rhetoric.

23 Q. So to summarize, like Regina Rivera's role
24 would be similar to yours in terms of, and the heads
25 of the departments, in terms of pointing employees

1 in Jose Salgado's direction if they raised interest
2 in decertification. Is that right?

3 A. In regards to the topic you're discussing, yes,
4 obviously her role is considerably larger than that
5 from a property level.

6 Q. Okay, yeah. And yeah, I guess that's a good
7 point. To be clear for the record, I'm just talking
8 about the role in the decertification effort.

9 A. Yeah.

10 Q. So she would point people in Jose Salgado's
11 direction, right?

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. And would she keep track of or provide any
14 information to Mr. Fortino that you know of?

15 A. I think so. I couldn't be for sure, but
16 obviously she was the keeper of the MUD list, so I
17 would imagine she had information that she had to
18 share with Phil.

19 Q. And then she would also share information with
20 Mr. Salgado in terms of employees that might be
21 interested in decertification, is that right?

22 A. That's accurate, yes.

23 Q. And that would be the same for both you and the
24 other directors and supervisors, is that right?

25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. And the reason why you would provide him with
2 information about who might be interested was so
3 that he could go and talk to them, right?
- 4 A. I believe so, yes.
- 5 Q. Did security play any role?
- 6 A. No. They're not a culinary area, so security
7 was never involved in any of those meetings.
- 8 Q. Do you know if Mr. Salgado ever reached out to
9 any security officers to talk with them about this
10 effort?
- 11 A. If he did, it was on his own. As you mentioned,
12 the only thing I can kind of remember with security
13 was that we just had to make sure that, you know, he
14 was where he was and that he was in that buffet
15 office and not to kick him out of the building.
- 16 Q. And you know, Mr. Salgado, he didn't just stay
17 in the buffet office, did he?
- 18 A. No, I saw him walking around.
- 19 Q. And did he have free roam over the facility?
- 20 A. I wouldn't say free roam. Certainly he's not
21 going to be in the count room, in critical areas.
- 22 Q. But all the areas where the employees might be,
23 is that right?
- 24 A. It would be highly likely he'd be walking
25 around some of the same travel pathways, yes.

- 1 Q. And what are some things that you observed him
2 doing while -- you were also, I'm sure you bumped
3 into him on occasion, is that right?
- 4 A. Yeah, I didn't observe a whole lot from him. I
5 did see him talking to people on occasion. I felt
6 like he was talking to the same people every time I
7 saw him.
- 8 Q. Who were those people?
- 9 A. He had a server, a cocktail server.
- 10 Q. Do you know the name?
- 11 A. Stephany would have been one. Stephany Alfaro.
- 12 Q. Any others?
- 13 A. He definitely -- I think Michelle Van Eamon
14 would have been another. She's a cocktail server.
- 15 Q. Does Stephany and Michelle speak English?
- 16 A. Oh yes.
- 17 Q. Any others that you observed him talking with?
- 18 A. None that I can remember in detail. Like I
19 said, seeing him was very infrequent.
- 20 Q. Do you know an employee by the name of Fabiola
21 Marni?
- 22 A. I do.
- 23 Q. And what do you know about her role in the
24 decertification effort?
- 25 A. From what I understand, she kind of spearheaded

1 quite a bit of that process. It's my understanding
2 that she was kind of helping to facilitate a lot of
3 that technical part of what the decertification
4 entails.

5 Q. And you had conversations with Fabiola Marni
6 throughout this decertification process?

7 A. Well, I would see her quite a bit because she
8 was working and, you know, quite a bit after her job
9 role was basically eliminated from a lack of
10 business. So we she had qualifications where she
11 could work in other roles to keep herself whole and
12 make, you know, at least get a paycheck.

13 So yeah, I would see her from time to time.

14 Q. And prior to the closure, she worked as a
15 banquet server, is that right?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. And what you mentioned as like the elimination
18 of her role. Reopening, there weren't a lot of --
19 there couldn't be large events for catering or
20 banquets, is that right?

21 A. Yeah, she had been 20 years in banquets across
22 the company. When she has large events of any kind
23 of importance, they use her because she's fantastic.
24 So she would work at Red Rock, Texas, Santa Fe,
25 Palace, Green Valley. And obviously catering fell by

1 the wayside with nobody booking any business. As we
2 reopened for that first six months and travel bans
3 involved, she ceases to have any type of living.

4 Luckily for us, she had server capabilities,
5 having worked in, I think, restaurants before she
6 worked with us. So we had a place for her to work
7 because we clearly needed people to work.

8 Q. Do you know what outlet she came back to work
9 in?

10 A. I believe she worked in Little Tony's and she
11 worked in the cafe. We might have even used her as a
12 hostess at the Oyster Bar or in some type of
13 facility there as well.

14 Q. And upon reopening, did she work in any other
15 roles that you know of?

16 A. I think there was, well, occasionally she would
17 go back to her banquet serving role if it was
18 needed. I'm not sure if there was any others in
19 addition to that. I feel like that was quite a bit.

20 Q. Did she ever take on any like internal
21 maintenance positions?

22 A. Oh, no, she didn't. As far as I know. I mean,
23 she's capable of a lot of things. I don't think she
24 was, though.

25 Q. Okay, or as far as you know, not in like

- 1 housekeeping at all?
- 2 A. I don't believe so.
- 3 Q. Were there other employees that you know of
4 that would, upon reopening, took on roles in several
5 different outlets?
- 6 A. The other banquet servers I don't think came
7 back to us. So as far as any other team members who
8 were working in multiple areas, it could have
9 happened. I'm not 100% sure if it did not.
- 10 Q. And Fabiola Marni, just to be clear, she was a
11 -- she did work at Palace Station prior to the
12 closure, right?
- 13 A. She did.
- 14 Q. So you were familiar with her prior to this
15 reopening, is that right?
- 16 A. Yeah, everybody's known Fabiola for quite some
17 time, for sure.
- 18 Q. When you say everybody, what do you mean by
19 that?
- 20 A. People across the company and every property
21 who deal with her, multiple banquet managers,
22 directors, lots of staff. I mean, her interactions
23 are pretty positive. She's a very, very good person.
- 24 Q. She's a company positive person?
- 25 A. For sure.

- 1 Q. Designated as an M on the MUD list?
- 2 A. I would say so.
- 3 Q. An M1 on the MUD list?
- 4 A. I would say so, yes.
- 5 Q. One of those people that you may have put on
6 your metaphorical list back in February?
- 7 A. Probably. I just, I don't know if it was
8 applicable at the time, but it would certainly be
9 somebody who is pro-company for sure.
- 10 Q. She's someone that you had a trusting
11 relationship with?
- 12 A. Well, you know, from a work -- trust in her
13 work, yes.
- 14 Q. And trust that you could have candid
15 conversations with her?
- 16 A. I don't know if I would have totally, like,
17 candid personally conversations, or work-related, or
18 what is that?
- 19 Q. Candid work-related conversations.
- 20 A. I wasn't going to go into any kind of
21 financials with her, so I don't know how candid that
22 would be. You know, if she was going to tell me what
23 the state of her department was, or what her opinion
24 was of the workplace, I would listen to her.
- 25 Q. And candid conversations about the culinary

- 1 union? You could have those with her, right?
- 2 A. She would definitely voice her opinion on that
3 as well.
- 4 Q. You weren't always just a passive listener in
5 your conversations with Fabiola Marni, were you?
- 6 A. I guess it would depend on the context. I'd
7 have, you know, I can't remember if I can recall
8 every conversation with her at this point.
- 9 Q. In terms of the culinary union, you engaged in
10 conversations on occasion with her about the
11 culinary union?
- 12 A. I may have, I'm not 100% sure there.
- 13 Q. She's one of the individuals that Jose Salgado
14 was told may be willing to assist in the
15 decertification effort, is that right?
- 16 A. I believe so.
- 17 Q. And during these meetings that you had with Mr.
18 Salgado, when he was giving updates on the progress,
19 he brought up Fabiola Marni, her progress, is that
20 right?
- 21 A. Yeah, that the meetings that we all had with
22 him, yes.
- 23 Q. And what would he say about her progress?
- 24 A. That she was doing a good job.
- 25 Q. In terms of getting a lot of signatures?

- 1 A. Yeah, that she was making progress towards what
2 he thought was a positive end towards
3 decertification. Along with some other folks too.
- 4 Q. And he talked about his interactions with Marni
5 Fabiola? Or Fab -- I switched that around, Fabiola
6 Marni.
- 7 A. Yeah, on occasion.
- 8 Q. And so you knew that he was interacting with --
9 people call her Faby, is that right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And so you knew that Mr. Salgado was
12 interacting with Faby, would you say in a frequent
13 basis?
- 14 A. I can't tell you how often it was, but he was
15 interacting with her.
- 16 Q. Are you familiar with a person by the name of
17 Jose Gonzalez?
- 18 A. I believe Jose Gonzalez was in Internal
19 Maintenance.
- 20 Q. Okay, worked as a porter?
- 21 A. That's, yeah, I think porter.
- 22 Q. Did he work for Palace Station prior to, well -
23 - upon reopening he worked as a porter, is that
24 right?
- 25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. And did he work for Palace Station prior to the
2 reopening?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. And are you familiar with his involvement, if
5 any, in the decertification effort?

6 A. I think he was somebody who was -- those
7 approached, you know, through whatever network they
8 had that he was, he was very pro decertification as
9 well.

10 Q. And what do you mean by like whatever network
11 they had?

12 A. Just from what I was told, that was somebody
13 that they had courted that was gonna, you know,
14 after the fact that he helped with the
15 decertification process.

16 Q. So he was courted by Mr. Salgado?

17 A. I'm not sure who did it. I don't know if it
18 was, you know, any one of the number of team members
19 that had kind of jumped on board, if it was Jose so
20 much.

21 You know, I feel like rather than speculate on
22 Jose. I'm not sure who courted him.

23 Q. And were there discussions about like courting
24 employees during these meetings with Mr. Fortino and
25 Mr. Salgado?

1 A. No, it was more, you know, Jose's process of
2 just, you know, using team members to help team
3 members. That's just kind of what he was, you know,
4 gonna do to try and, you know, help with a positive
5 message.

6 Q. Yeah, tell us more about what you learned about
7 his process, Mr. Salgado's.

8 A. That's really it. Like I said, his
9 communication level was astonishingly sparse with
10 what his kind of program was. And it usually just
11 said, hey, we're gonna talk to this person. They
12 seem pretty good. This person, I'm not so sure, but
13 this is a good person. So we're gonna talk to him.
14 And we're talking to this guy too. And we're talking
15 to this person too. We'll see how it goes. I mean,
16 that is an encapsulation a lot of what, how he
17 communicated.

18 Q. So we would talk to employees to see how it
19 would go. And it, with the goal of getting those
20 employees to circulate a petition.

21 A. Well, yeah, to help them if they were enticed
22 to, or if they were, believe they want to do a
23 decertification, yeah, he would help them, you know,
24 with the information necessary.

25 Q. And given the, maybe, skills or tools on how to

1 communicate with other employees?

2 A. Certainly through the, whatever website he was
3 using, you know, that he had available.

4 Q. Do you know if he had his own website or is he
5 using Station Casino's website?

6 A. I don't know. I have no idea what he had.

7 Q. And so as far as Jose Gonzalez, as far as you -
8 - he was courted by somebody and then he began
9 working to get employees to sign a petition.

10 A. He was either courted or approached those guys
11 as an active participant. And then it sounds like he
12 was a part of that process.

13 Q. Courted, does that mean -- when I hear the word
14 courted, I think, you know, there's, may take
15 multiple attempts in order to get -

16 A. So bad choice of words. I'm not sure if he
17 approached those guys to help or if he found out
18 they were doing it and joined on. Either way, he
19 eventually joins that team.

20 How that came about, I'm not sure.

21 Q. Do you know a porter that works for Palace
22 Station, upon the reopening, named, first name is
23 Alma?

24 A. I think he'd had -- I think there's quite a few
25 Almas, I'm not sure.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. Probably have to be a little more specific, but
- 3 Q. Do you know of any Alma that was working to, in
- 4 this decertification effort, getting people to sign?
- 5 A. There could have been. Again, I think we had
- 6 quite a few Almas everywhere, so -
- 7 Q. Did you ever hear Mr. Salgado talk about an
- 8 Alma who was working in the decert effort?
- 9 A. Not well enough to say for sure, no.
- 10 Q. Okay. Do you know of a Carlos, an employee by
- 11 the name of Carlos, who was engaged with the
- 12 decertification effort?
- 13 A. Not 100% accurate on that. I can't say for
- 14 sure.
- 15 Q. Okay. Now, while the decertification effort was
- 16 underway at Palace Station, you also knew that it
- 17 was underway at Boulder Station, is that right?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Did you stay in contact with Alan Trantina, or
- 20 anyone else from Boulder Station about what was
- 21 happening at Boulder Station?
- 22 A. I believe there was probably some in passing
- 23 remarks of, you know, very, very small general
- 24 detail as to what that was. I couldn't tell you.
- 25 Q. Would you be comparing the progress for the

1 efforts at each property?

2 A. I suppose progress could have been, you know,
3 mentioned. I think probably, if I recollect of
4 anything, it would have been, you know, frustrations
5 with everything else that's going on, you know,
6 along with that.

7 Q. What do you mean by that?

8 A. Just the general work environment at that time
9 that we're working in. The COVID kind of situation,
10 that was usually a far more prevalent conversation
11 about comparing, you know, what everybody's dealing
12 with at properties.

13 Q. So did you or did you not compare progress on
14 the decert effort along the way?

15 A. I may have. I seem like we've been fleeting and
16 topical. If we did, I can't say for sure.

17 Q. But you knew of the progress as you went along,
18 is that right?

19 A. I didn't know their exact progress. I know they
20 had a decertification effort, but I don't think I
21 was getting anything other than, it's going good, or
22 we're not sure. So it wasn't, we didn't have any
23 insight to, total insight to what they were doing.

24 Q. And eventually the, the Boulder Station
25 decertification effort resulted in the company

1 withdrawing recognition from the union. Now you're
2 aware of that?

3 A. I am.

4 Q. And what kind of communication were you getting
5 from Phil Fortino after Boulder Station reached that
6 goal?

7 A. Hurry up, do the same.

8 Q. Why is it taking so long?

9 A. That would have been one, sure.

10 Q. And what would be your response at that point
11 in time? Why was it taking it so long?

12 A. The only response that you could give is, you
13 know, the people that are working on this, you know,
14 it's their deal. You know, it's not the type of
15 thing that I felt was something we go down and, you
16 know, put any hardship on these folks. That's their
17 decision. To me it seemed like a very unregulated
18 area. In fact, it felt very unregulated because that
19 was their cause and their purpose. So it's hard to
20 answer that.

21 Q. It seems like you don't want to get involved.

22 A. Well, it's that and it's, you know, again, it's
23 it's a sensitive subject for the team members when
24 they're doing that. So, you know, it was -- I didn't
25 feel like that was an area where I had to go down

1 and, you know, there's all kinds of words I could
2 think of, but, you know, to push them in a negative
3 way. That's their deal.

4 JUDGE TRACY: May I just ask a couple of
5 questions?

6 MS. DEMIROK: Sure.

7 JUDGE TRACY: So, you know, the record has
8 established that your Palace Station reopened on
9 June 4th of 2020. And it sounds like, from what I've
10 been hearing, that Mr. Salgado came to that property
11 around that same time period as you're bringing on
12 team members. Is that correct?

13 MR. HORN: That would be, yeah, that'd be
14 correct. I mean, that's coincidental that he's
15 coming in there while we're trying to bring back
16 people to handle business, but yes, timing-wise.

17 JUDGE TRACY: So, how did the team members know
18 what his role was there?

19 MR. HORN: I think that, you know, through the
20 conversations of HR and his presence of, if somebody
21 had asked who he was, he's here for a consultation
22 on the culinary. So anybody who is of interest of,
23 not being a part of the culinary, they were told to
24 talk to this communication specialist.

25 JUDGE TRACY: How do you know that?

1 MR. HORN: I don't for sure.

2 JUDGE TRACY: Did any team members ask you,
3 "who is this guy?"

4 MR. HORN: They did.

5 JUDGE TRACY: And what did you say?

6 MR. HORN: He's a communication specialist.
7 That's what we were told to say.

8 JUDGE TRACY: Anything more?

9 MR. HORN: If he was going to have any
10 information on, you know, the decertification
11 process or if they were anti-culinary, if they
12 didn't believe in what the union cause was, that's,
13 you know, he's the communication specialist for that
14 activity.

15 JUDGE TRACY: I'm just imagining, you know,
16 it's a stressful time, and then you have this other
17 person there and team members might just be confused
18 about why he is there moving about.

19 MR. HORN: You're correct.

20 JUDGE TRACY: I just wondered what, if
21 anything, from your role or HR's role, did they --
22 did you all announce that he would be there to
23 answer questions or how did that work?

24 MR. HORN: I don't believe there's any formal
25 announcement. I think it was more of, if these

1 people were interested in a process, he was the
2 person to speak to. And you're right, it was
3 stressful. Just one more thing to add on to
4 everything else that's going on.

5 JUDGE TRACY: Thank you. Go ahead.

6 Q MS. DEMIROK: And I think you said earlier, Mr.
7 Horn, that just like you directed people to Mr.
8 Salgado, the guidance given to the directors, heads
9 of departments, and the supervisors, was to also
10 direct employees to Mr. Salgado, is that right?

11 A. That is correct.

12 Q. And you expected him to find those individuals
13 to follow that guidance, is that right?

14 A. Based on what was being told by our, our, the
15 person given our guidance, correct.

16 Q. And so Mr. Salgado was essentially a resource
17 that employees were directed to, would you agree?

18 A. That's fair.

19 Q. And a resource to learn all about how to
20 decertify the union.

21 A. Certainly provide information, yes.

22 Q. And provide information about the
23 decertification process, right?

24 A. That's correct. Based on the right-to-work
25 site.

1 Q. And you would also provide information about --
2 you would communicate to them about the company's
3 messaging in terms of the benefits, right?

4 A. I think that was a part of it. What he actually
5 says, I couldn't even begin to tell you, but that
6 seemed to be the guidance from Phil's
7 interpretation.

8 Q. And so Mr. Salgado, your expectation was that
9 he was providing employees with messaging that they
10 could use to talk with other employees, is that
11 right?

12 A. That was, I think, part of the guidance as
13 well.

14 Q. Kind of train those employees how to get a
15 signature on a petition.

16 A. That part I couldn't speak to.

17 Q. So, I'm handing you what's been marked as
18 General Counsel Exhibit 205. And Mr. Horn, what we
19 see here is a meeting invitation for one of these
20 meetings with you and Mr. Salgado and Mr. Fortino,
21 is that right?

22 **(General Counsel's Exhibit 205, marked for**
23 **identification).**

24 A. I believe Jen Johnson was also invited on this
25 one.

- 1 Q. I see. And this one is happening at Palace
2 Station, is that right?
- 3 A. It appears so, yes.
- 4 Q. It's about a month after the company withdrew
5 recognition at Boulder Station, is that correct?
- 6 A. I don't know the exact date that they withdrew,
7 so I'd have to just say I recognize it's September
8 9th.
- 9 Q. Okay. Do you recall the Boulder Station
10 withdrawal happening in August?
- 11 A. I'm not 100% sure.
- 12 Q. Okay. Do you, does this meeting invitation,
13 does, do you recall this meeting in particular?
- 14 A. Not really, because they all kind of just blend
15 in with the same messaging, but obviously an email
16 that probably happened.
- 17 Q. And do you recall when Palace Station withdrew
18 recognition from the union?
- 19 A. I feel like it's gonna be September. I could be
20 wrong, but I feel like it's September. I've been
21 wrong a couple times on timelines in 2020.
- 22 Q. Yeah, the record is established it occurred in
23 September of 2020. So this meeting is, would you
24 say, is leading up to the withdrawal of recognition?
- 25 A. Based on the timeline and what ultimately

1 occurs, it appears so.

2 Q. And did the nature of these meetings change at
3 all from, I would say, after the Boulder Station? We
4 kind of touched on this, but in terms of the
5 meetings that you were having with Mr. Salgado and
6 Mr. Horn, or Mr. Fortino, and Ms. Johnson in this
7 occasion, did the nature of the meetings change at
8 all after the withdrawal of recognition happened at
9 Boulder Station?

10 A. No, I think the messaging was still the same,
11 like sense of urgency from one side, albeit, you
12 know, Jose seemed to think it was more positive from
13 the sense of Boulder's withdrawal. Phil's
14 connotation was still sense of urgency.

15 Q. What was the, what was the urgent, like why was
16 it so urgent?

17 A. I'm sure he didn't want something to linger
18 around. I couldn't speculate on what his thought
19 process is on the sense of urgency. You know, the
20 way it's described to me is, you know, do these
21 things in a timely manner.

22 Q. Were you still in a situation, or were you in a
23 situation in September, August or September of 2020,
24 of being understaffed in any areas?

25 A. That continues until almost the first quarter

1 of 21.

2 Q. After the withdrawal of recognition at Boulder
3 Station, there was some communication that was
4 posted in the back of the house areas at Palace
5 Station about the withdrawal of recognition, is that
6 right?

7 A. That may have happened. I can't say for sure,
8 but I feel like that might have possibly been
9 posted. I think there was a general message to the
10 rest of the company.

11 Q. And the message was that employees got rid of
12 the union at Boulder Station, is that right?

13 A. To that -- something to that effect, yes.

14 Q. Why did you have that posted at Palace Station?

15 A. We were told to do so. We posted it up.

16 Q. By Mr. Fortino?

17 A. I believe so, yes.

18 Q. And I'd like to show you what's been admitted
19 as General Counsel Exhibit 156. And one of these
20 could - if you can take a look at this, it's a
21 three-page document, and it relates to some postings
22 that ended up at Palace Station, maybe other
23 properties, with some -- a copy of an article that
24 was published in the Review Journal.

25 A. Okay.

- 1 Q. Is that what it is?
- 2 A. It appears to be, yes.
- 3 Q. And you're familiar with this, right?
- 4 A. For the exact verbiage that's in here, not
5 necessarily, but I do recognize it was a Review
6 Journal story.
- 7 Q. And it was about the employees essentially
8 deciding that they don't want the Culinary Union at
9 Boulder Station, is that right?
- 10 A. That's what it appears to be.
- 11 Q. And this information was printed on big poster
12 size paper, is that right?
- 13 A. I believe -- actually, to be honest, I mean,
14 this seems pretty big to put on poster, but if there
15 was some kind of summary of something, maybe. I
16 couldn't say accurately if we did this or not. I do
17 notice that Phil says, "This will make a nice
18 poster, huh?" If we had actually facilitated that,
19 I'm not 100% sure.
- 20 Q. You don't know.
- 21 A. I'm not 100% sure.
- 22 Q. So you don't recall ever seeing this
23 information posted at Palace Station?
- 24 A. Again, if there was a summary post, maybe. I
25 just can't say for sure.

- 1 Q. Do you know, is the Review Journal considered a
2 vendor of Station Casino?
- 3 A. A vendor?
- 4 Q. Yeah.
- 5 A. I wouldn't say that would be accurate, because
6 in my perception, the Review Journal has been
7 pretty, has printed quite a few negative stories
8 about Station Casino, so I don't know if vendor is
9 an accurate portrayal.
- 10 Q. Okay. Were there any reporters at the Review
11 Journal that you know of, anyone at Station Casinos
12 having a, you know, direct professional relationship
13 with?
- 14 A. I couldn't speak to any of that.
- 15 Q. All right, so as September went on, Mr.
16 Fortino, would it be fair to say, was getting
17 impatient with the progress that was happening with
18 the decertification effort at Palace Station?
- 19 A. Slightly impatient, yes. That would be
20 accurate.
- 21 Q. And he was reaching out to you and Ms. Rivera
22 to get accurate information in terms of at least
23 what, what the size of the unit was at that time, is
24 that right?
- 25 A. That's right.

1 Q. And what do you know about Mr. Fortino getting
2 information from Mr. Salgado in terms of what the
3 progress was with the decertification effort,
4 leading up to when the withdrawal occurred?

5 A. Well, in that time in September, when Jose
6 seemed pretty prophetic about what could happen, and
7 Phil -- he was having a conversation with myself and
8 Phil and Regina was, you know, here's a sense of
9 what could happen if anything happens from a
10 decertification.

11 Obviously, none of us had been through
12 something like that, but he felt -- Jose felt
13 positive that if they were to do one, it would come,
14 you know, positive from a team or Station
15 standpoint. And Phil said, just, you know, let me
16 know if anything happens unusual, you know, based on
17 what could happen from how his previous experience
18 with decertifications worked.

19 He just kind of told us to, kind of what to
20 expect.

21 Q. Do you know what Mr. Fortino meant by anything
22 unusual?

23 A. He said typically in a decertification,
24 somebody will be appointed a representative of some
25 sort and they'll, you know, deliver whatever the

1 results are, and that would be it.

2 Q. Okay, so you were given instructions to, you
3 know, wait for someone to reach -- was it to reach
4 out to you to provide information?

5 A. I had no idea. I didn't know what the hell he
6 was talking about, to be honest with you. Sorry.
7 Just, you know, he said that, you know, usually
8 these things happen quick. If they do a
9 decertification petition, you know, they're going to
10 present something in some shape -- way, shape, or
11 form, so if it seems abnormal, just call me right
12 away.

13 Q. And the abnormal part would be getting
14 information about the -

15 A. Being approached by team members.

16 Q. Okay, and did that happen?

17 A. It did.

18 Q. And were you the one that was approached?

19 A. I was.

20 Q. And can you tell us about that?

21 A. Somebody's knocking on the door at the exec
22 office. Turned out to be Michelle Van Eamon and
23 Faby, and they had a manila envelope that was,
24 seemed pretty big, and they said this is our
25 decertification and we'd like to be considered for

1 whatever the decertification process is. I kind of
2 stepped away from them, and I said just hang on for
3 a second. I called Phil. I said, "I've got two
4 people here with a manila envelope that's very
5 large," and he said, "Okay, just take the envelope."
6 Called Regina. She came over. I think she took the
7 envelope. And then, you know, after I called him, I
8 think he came down with some type of independent
9 counsel or a lawyer of some sort, and they went
10 through the process of validating signatures.

11 Q. And were you there when they did that?

12 A. No. I was in the building. I was not a part of
13 the signature piece. I just, that was their deal.

14 Q. And who all was involved in that?

15 A. I think, I believe it was Regina. I'm not 100%
16 sure if the third - I'm sorry, the lawyer whose
17 name, I'm not sure who it was. But he was outside
18 lawyer. And then it was either -

19 Q. Sorry, was it, do you know if it was Mark
20 Ricciardi?

21 A. No, it was not. I know who that is. It was not
22 him. It was either Jen Johnson or Catherine Baker
23 that was doing the counts there. I'm not 100% sure
24 on which one that was. It might have been Catherine.

25 Q. And Catherine Baker was a team member relations

- 1 person at Palace Station?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And reported to Regina Rivera, is that right?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Okay. Anyone else that you remember?
- 6 A. I do not. No.
- 7 Q. Was Mr. Fortino there?
- 8 A. Not, no, certainly not in the count side of
- 9 things. He came down to property, talked to me for a
- 10 second, and then left.
- 11 Q. Did he congratulate you?
- 12 A. No, he just said, you know, here's how, you
- 13 know, put this, you know, make sure these, once
- 14 they're done counting, you know, just, you know,
- 15 where to put these documents. And basically spoke to
- 16 Regina and I about that and said, give me the key,
- 17 and that's it.
- 18 Q. How long did the process take to review the
- 19 signatures?
- 20 A. It seemed like quite a while. In my head, it
- 21 feels like it was the entire day into night. I don't
- 22 know if it was that long, but it certainly felt like
- 23 a while.
- 24 Q. And then at the end of that process, what
- 25 happened next?

1 A. They reported their information on the count
2 and the signatures to Phil, who then shared that
3 information with myself, I think Bob and everybody
4 else.

5 Q. Did you hear of any issues with being able to
6 verify any signatures?

7 A. For sure, there was a few.

8 Q. And do you know what happened in those
9 situations?

10 A. I don't know the exact process of it. I
11 believe, you know, there was a few signatures that
12 they couldn't verify, so they were discounted. I
13 think that's what they said.

14 (long pause)

15 Q. Would you describe it as, like, a lot of effort
16 that you put in to reach that goal of
17 decertification?

18 A. I think it was, everyone's effort was --
19 everyone viewed it as quite an effort. More so
20 probably the team members, I think.

21 Q. So, I've handed you what's been marked as
22 General Counsel Exhibit 206. Do you know what we're
23 looking at here?

24 **(General Counsel's Exhibit 206, marked for**
25 **identification)**

- 1 A. I do.
- 2 Q. Who's Terry D.?
- 3 A. Terry Downey. He's a friend of mine.
- 4 Q. These are, this is a text conversation that you
5 had with him, is that right?
- 6 A. It is.
- 7 Q. Now, and it relates to this decertification
8 process that we've been, you've been testifying
9 about, is that right?
- 10 A. It does somewhat, yes.
- 11 Q. And you mentioned to your friend that you got
12 the decertification of the union completed.
13 Do you see that?
- 14 A. I see where I typed that, yes.
- 15 Q. And you're, that, this is in reference to what
16 happened at Palace Station, right?
- 17 A. It's in reference to, yeah, being, yeah, the
18 activity of that decertification process being,
19 occurring at Palace, yes.
- 20 Q. And when you said we submitted to them
21 yesterday, the "them" in there is in reference to
22 the Culinary Union, is that right?
- 23 A. I -
- 24 Q. Or what is that in reference to?
- 25 A. Yeah, I believe that's correct.

1 Q. Now, you describe it as like almost losing your
2 life in the process. Right?

3 A. Yeah, I think there's a lot that can be taken
4 out of context, obviously. Most people know about
5 this because it was reprinted in quite a few
6 culinary publications, and I think it was in the
7 news as well. So, I think the context of what this,
8 this is said, and, and what was going on at the time
9 is pretty remarkable given what we were dealing with
10 at work. Given what we were dealing with by, you
11 know, everything that was going on. So, when I say
12 "I got the decertification," that's what it's
13 referring to. I received that, that decertification
14 envelope, and that process had been kind of
15 formalized. So, it was easy to take this out of
16 context. But yeah, it was stressful. It was just one
17 more thing that was stressful in that period.

18 Q. But as I understand your testimony, your
19 involvement seemed pretty limited, you know, except
20 for attending these meetings and directing people to
21 go talk with Mr. Salgado, and being hands-off in
22 terms of the, you know, reviewing of the signatures.
23 So, what was it that, what were you doing that was
24 so -- that you nearly lost your life doing?

25 A. Well, the "lost your life," obviously, is a,

1 probably a sarcastic dramatization of whatever my
2 mindset was at the time. But the stressful part is,
3 you know, the forbearance of somebody coming down on
4 you in these meetings and saying, this has got to
5 move quicker and you've got to move, this has got to
6 happen. There was no lack of stress involved from
7 one kind of entity telling you this has got to be
8 achieved and you've got to get there, you know.
9 That's always going to be added stress to anything
10 that you're doing on top of the other things that
11 you're supposed to be kind of dealing with at the
12 time, none of which was easy.

13 Q. So, it was stressful getting that pressure from
14 Mr. Fortino to make progress on the decertification
15 effort?

16 A. Absolutely, yes.

17 Q. I'm going to just say that one more time, just
18 so the record's clear, but, so it was stressful
19 getting that pressure from Mr. Fortino to get the
20 decertification complete, correct?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. And when he was putting pressure on you, you
23 were taking action to get it complete, right?

24 A. Well, I had to -- the people that I had to kind
25 of relay that kind of same message to were hearing

1 it from him to me and me to them, and it's just not
2 a good position to be in. It is stressful.

3 Q. But you were taking action to get that
4 decertification effort complete, correct?

5 A. By the methodologies that he was introducing
6 and saying kind of like how we would want this to
7 go, which was all of the things we discussed and
8 saying, hey, if your people have awareness, yes,
9 follow the pathways to Jose.

10 Q. Yeah, so keep identifying people that might be
11 interested, right?

12 A. I would say so, yes.

13 Q. So that was an ongoing process in which you
14 were identifying employees that would sign the
15 decertification petition?

16 A. By everybody on the property kind of
17 communicating that.

18 Q. Yeah, you would identify them to yourselves,
19 and you'd also gather that information from those
20 that reported to you, is that right?

21 A. I think that's accurate, yes.

22 Q. And you would instruct those that reported to
23 you to do the same thing that you were doing in
24 terms of identifying employees that might sign a
25 petition?

1 A. Yeah, I don't think it was a, you know, go out
2 and seek these people out. If they heard that
3 message from somebody, then they were supposed to
4 guide those folks back to Jose.

5 Q. So you're just gonna sit back and wait and see
6 if you heard something?

7 A. A lot of times that would be it. Other people
8 would approach, you know, it was basically we'd have
9 to listen to if Jose had heard a name, if somebody
10 else had heard a name.

11 But my mission in life was not to go down there
12 and say, hey, let's see who's, you know, ready to
13 sign a decert every day. My mission in life was to
14 make sure everybody was getting to work and working
15 in a safe environment and making sure that everybody
16 else coming in that building had a safe environment.

17 Q. But you were acting on the pressure that Mr.
18 Fortino was putting on you, right?

19 A. He was giving us direction, yes.

20 Q. And that was the crazy part of the deal, is
21 that right?

22 A. It was just one of the many parts that was a
23 crazy deal during that period.

24 Q. Well in your text message here you said
25 "craziest deal ever." You're talking about the