

1 DENNIS L. KENNEDY  
Nevada Bar No. 1462  
2 PAUL C. WILLIAMS  
Nevada Bar No. 12524  
3 **BAILEY ❖ KENNEDY**  
8984 Spanish Ridge Avenue  
4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148-1302  
Telephone: 702.562.8820  
5 Facsimile: 702.562.8821  
DKennedy@BaileyKennedy.com  
6 PWilliams@BaileyKennedy.com

7 NEAL KUMAR KATYAL  
(Pro hac vice)  
8 JOSHUA B. STERLING  
(Pro hac vice)  
9 WILLIAM E. HAVEMANN  
(Pro hac vice)  
10 **MILBANK LLP**  
11 1101 New York Avenue NW  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: 202.835.7500  
12 Facsimile: 202.263.7586

GRANT R. MAINLAND  
(Pro hac vice forthcoming)  
MATTHEW LAROCHE  
(Pro hac vice)  
ANDREW PORTER  
(Pro hac vice forthcoming)  
NICOLE D. VALENTE  
(Pro hac vice forthcoming)  
**MILBANK LLP**  
55 Hudson Yards  
New York, NY 10001  
Telephone: 212.530.5000  
Facsimile: 212.530.5219

13 *Attorneys for Defendant KalshiEX, LLC*

14  
15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
16 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

17 STATE OF NEVADA ex rel. NEVADA  
18 GAMING CONTROL BOARD,

19 Plaintiff,

20 vs.

21 KALSHIEX LLC,

22 Defendant.

Case No. 2.26-cv-00406-MMD-MDC

**KALSHI'S EMERGENCY MOTION FOR**  
**ADMINISTRATIVE STAY**

**BAILEY ❖ KENNEDY**  
8984 SPANISH RIDGE AVENUE  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89148-1302  
702.562.8820

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**EMERGENCY MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE STAY**

Defendant KalshiEX LLC (“Kalshi”) submits this motion to request a brief administrative stay of the Court’s remand order pending the Court’s resolution of Kalshi’s forthcoming motion for a stay pending appeal to the Ninth Circuit.<sup>1</sup>

Yesterday, the Court ordered that this case be remanded to state court, concluding that it lacks subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1442(a). Because Kalshi removed pursuant to section 1442, Kalshi has a statutory right under 28 U.S.C. § 1447(d) to appeal the order, and it intends to exercise that right promptly.

To ensure “that its right to appeal will” remain “meaningful,” Kalshi also intends to file a motion with this Court later today requesting a stay of the Court’s remand order pending appeal. *Oracle Int’l Corp. v. Rimini St., Inc.*, 2023 WL 5221947, at \*5 (D. Nev. Aug. 15, 2023) (Du, C.J.); *see County of San Mateo v. Chevron Corp., et al.*, No. 17-cv-0429-VC, Dkt. No. 240 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 9, 2018) (staying remand orders pending appeal and noting that “resolution by the court of appeals will materially advance the litigation”).

In the meantime, Kalshi respectfully requests that the Court enter a brief administrative stay. *See Oracle*, 2023 WL 5221947, at \*6 (granting such a stay). An administrative stay would allow the Court adequate time to consider the motion and any response. And it would prevent any jurisdictional confusion that might result if the remand order were mailed to state court before resolution of the stay motion. *See Acad. of Country Music v. Cont’l Cas. Co.*, 991 F.3d 1059, 1070 (9th Cir. 2021) (directing the district court to “enter an order recalling the remand” and to notify the state court “that the district court has resumed jurisdiction over” improperly remanded action). Even if remaining in federal court could somehow harm Plaintiff—it would not—this Court has previously held that “the marginal delay associated with the time it takes” for a court to resolve a “motion to stay . . . will not cause much additional harm.” *Oracle*, 2023 WL 5221947, at \*5; *see, e.g., Apple*

---

<sup>1</sup> This motion is not—and should not be construed as—a general appearance or appearance on the merits by Kalshi. By filing this motion, Kalshi does not waive any defenses, whether in state or federal court, including, without limitation, improper service of process or lack of personal jurisdiction.

**BAILEY ♦ KENNEDY**  
8984 SPANISH RIDGE AVENUE  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89148-1302  
702.562.8820

1 *Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.*, 2015 WL 13711858, at \*1 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 7, 2015) (similar). An  
2 administrative stay is therefore warranted.

3 The Court should administratively stay its remand order pending the Court’s resolution of  
4 Kalshi’s forthcoming motion for a stay pending appeal.<sup>2</sup>

5 Dated this 3rd day of March, 2026.

6 **BAILEY ❖ KENNEDY**

7 By: /s/ Paul C. Williams

8 DENNIS L. KENNEDY  
9 Nevada Bar No. 1462  
10 PAUL C. WILLIAMS  
11 Nevada Bar No. 12524

12 NEAL KUMAR KATYAL  
13 (*Pro hac vice*)  
14 JOSHUA B. STERLING  
15 (*Pro hac vice*)  
16 WILLIAM E. HAVEMANN  
17 (*Pro hac vice*)  
18 GRANT R. MAINLAND  
19 (*Pro hac vice* forthcoming)  
20 MATTHEW J. LAROCHE  
21 (*Pro hac vice*)  
22 ANDREW L. PORTER  
23 (*Pro hac vice* forthcoming)  
24 NICOLE D. VALENTE  
25 (*Pro hac vice* forthcoming)  
26 **MILBANK LLP**

27 *Attorneys for Defendant*  
28 *KalshiEX LLC*

27 <sup>2</sup> Plaintiff informed Kalshi that it opposes the relief requested in this motion.

